arXiv:1203.2423v1 [gr-qc] 12 Mar 2012

CONSTRUCTION OF INITIAL DATA ASSOCIATED TO THE CHARACTERISTIC INITIAL VALUE PROBLEM FOR THE EINSTEIN-YANG-MILLS-HIGGS SYSTEM

CALVIN TADMON[1,2]*
[1] Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Dschang, P. O. Box 67, Dschang, Cameroon
[2] Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,
University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa

Abstract

We show how to assign initial data for the characteristic Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system on two intersecting smooth null hypersurfaces. We successfully adapt the hierarchical method set up by A. D. Rendall to solve the same problem for the Einstein equations in vacuum and with perfect fluid source. Unlike the work of Rendall, many delicate calculations and expressions are given in details so as to address, in a forthcoming work, the issue of global resolution of the characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system. The method obviously applies to the Einstein-Maxwell and the Einstein-scalar field models.

AMS Subject Classification: [2000] 35L15, 35L70, 46E35, 46J10, 81T13, 83C10, 83C20.

Keywords: Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system, Characteristic initial value problem, Null or characteristic hypersurfaces, constraints problem, Harmonic gauge, Lorentz gauge.

1 Introduction

Before going straight to the point it is worth noting from the outset that, following the publication of two recent joint works [14, 15] with Marcel Dossa, some readers contacted us asking for some supplementary explanations that may enable them understand the resolution of the constraints problem associated to the characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system (EYMH). Instead of responding to those readers alone, we have preferred to satisfy the whole mathematical community by providing the complete details concerning those aspects of the resolution of the constraints problem associated to the characteristic initial value problem for the EYMH system which were missing in [14, 15]. The presentation is made in such a way that the paper, devoted to the resolution of the constraints problem associated to the characteristic initial value problem for the EYMH system on two intersecting smooth null hypersurfaces, is almost self-contained. The interests and physical motivations for studying characteristic initial value problems have been widely mentioned in [13, 16, 17, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. Among those interests and physical motivations we can mention the following:

- In a certain way data on a null cone represent our present knowledge of the cosmos better than data on spacelike hypersurfaces and are closely related to observable quantities (see [16, 22, 24]).
- In General Relativity (GR) where existence, non existence, uniqueness play an essential role, specified hypersurfaces on which data are posed are rather characteristic than spacelike (horizons, null cones, past or future null infinity, etc. . . , see [13, 20, 23]).
- The characteristic problem is quite natural in the case of shock waves where discontinuities necessarily appear on characteristics (see [17, 22]).

---

*E-mail address: tadmonc@yahoo.fr; calvin.tadmon@up.ac.za

- Characteristic data have the important advantage that there exists a set of quantities that can be given independently of each other and that determine the solution uniquely. (see [22, 24])
- A lot of quantities have to be obtained by solving transport equations along the bicharacteristics: For second order systems, the first derivatives are not given on the initial null hypersurfaces, they are obtained through transport equations. (see [23])
- In the case of the Einstein equations, the constraints for the gravitational field as well as for the harmonic coordinates reduce to explicit ordinary differential equations (ODEs) instead of the elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) which arise when the classical Cauchy problem (where initial data are assigned on a spacelike hypersurface) is considered (see [24, 25]). So one interesting application of the characteristic initial value problem is in numerical GR (see [26, 27]).

As for the EYMH system, it is a mathematically and physically interesting model in GR and Gauge field Theory. The EYMH equations form a basis for the well-known self-consistent model of interaction of gravitational, gauge and scalar fields (see [1, 29] for review and basic references). The Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theory unifies two important trends in the theory of gravity. The first one is the Einstein-Yang-Mills model, which is a non-abelian generalization of the well known Einstein-Maxwell model of relativistic electromagnetism theory. The second trend is connected with the investigations of interaction of gravitational and scalar fields. The importance of the EYMH model in the theory of dark matter an in the theories of dark energy has been underlined by A. B. Balakin et al. [1] and M.S. Volkov and D. V. Gal'tsov [29].

It is well known that, under a suitable choice of gauge conditions, the initial value problem for the EYMH system splits into two parts called the evolution problem and the constraints problem. Throughout all the work we will use harmonic gauge for the gravitational field and Lorentz gauge for the Yang-Mills potential.

Some important works on characteristic initial value problems with initial data prescribed on two intersecting null hypersurfaces can be found in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25].

When attempting to solve the constraints problem for the characteristic EYMH system by the hierarchical method of Rendall (see [24, 25]), some obstacles occur due to the form of the stress-energy tensor which is more complex compared to the vacuum Einstein equations or the Einstein-perfect fluid cases. The feature of the present work resides in the fact that we have patiently overcome all these difficulties by judiciously performing very tedious calculations. This seems to be, to our knowledge, a true advance and in all points of view, new. Moreover, unlike the work of Rendall [24, 25], many delicate calculations and expressions are given in details in such a way that one can foresee promising resolution of the global characteristic EYMH system by adapting recent methods developed by H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski [21] and C. Svedberg [28] to study ordinary spatial Cauchy problems for Einstein equations in vacuum and Einstein-Maxwell-Scalar field system respectively.

Since the reduced EYMH equations stand as a second order quasilinear hyperbolic system, the resolution of the corresponding evolution problem can obviously be achieved directly by just referring to Theorem 1 of the paper of A. D. Rendall [24]. So, in the present work, we mainly focus our attention on the resolution of the constraints problem associated to the EYMH system.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some preliminaries about the EYMH system. The complete form as well as the reduced form of the EYMH system, suitable for the resolution of the constraints problem, are given explicitly under harmonic gauge and Lorentz gauge conditions. The concern of section 3 is the resolution of the constraints problem for the characteristic EYMH system i.e., the construction, from arbitrary choice of some components of the unknown function (called free data) on the initial null hypersurfaces, of the complete initial data for the reduced EYMH system such that the harmonic gauge and the Lorentz gauge conditions are satisfied on the initial null hypersurfaces. For sake of simplicity, only the case of C^∞ data will be discussed. Data of finite differentiability order may be constructed in Sobolev type spaces using energy inequalities and other classical tools as described in [13, 14, 15, 23, 24]. The conclusion of the work is given in section 4 where compatibility conditions are discussed and the final result stated. In appendices A to D we provide the proofs that were missing in [15] (according to some readers as noted at the beginning the lack of these important ingredients, among others, rendered the work in [15] difficult to understand).

2 The Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system

We first introduce some more comprehensible geometric tools that are necessary for the good understanding of the deep structure of the EYMH equations. The framework where the constraints problem for the EYMH equations will be solved is presented. Throughout all the section, the notations of [23] are used.

2.1 Geometric tools and notations

L denotes a compact domain of $\mathbb{R}^4$ with a piecewise smooth boundary ∂L, G^1 and G^2 are two 3-dimensional surfaces such that $G^\omega \subset L$ for $\omega = 1, 2$. We assume that G^ω are defined by

$$G^\omega = \{x \in L : x^\omega = 0\}, \qquad \omega = 1,\ 2, \tag{2.1}$$

where $x = (x^a) = \left(x^1, ..., x^4\right)$ is the global canonical coordinates system of $\mathbb{R}^4$. In addition we assume that $G^1 \cup G^2 \subset \partial L$. Set

$$\tau(x) = x^1 + x^2 \text{ and } T_0 = \sup_{x \in L} \tau(x). \tag{2.2}$$

For $t \in [0, T_0]$, define the following point sets

$$L_t = \{x \in L : 0 \leq \tau(x) \leq t\}, \quad G_t^\omega = \{x \in G^\omega : 0 \leq \tau(x) \leq t\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark 2.1. *The initial data will be constructed on $G_T^1 \cup G_T^2$, for $T \in (0, T_0]$.*

The basic geometric framework is a space-time $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ i.e., a $4-$dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a lorentzian metric g of signature $-+++$.

A Yang-Mills potential is usually represented by a $1-$form A defined on $\mathcal{M}$ with values in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of a Lie group G. We assume that the Lie group G admits a non-degenerate bi-invariant metric (it is the case if G is the product of abelian and semi-simple groups (see [8, 9])). The Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ admits then an Ad-invariant non degenerate scalar product, denoted by a dot ".", which enjoys the following property:

$$f.[k,l] = [f,k].l,\ \forall f,k,l \in \mathcal{G}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $[,]$ denote the Lie brackets of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$. It is assumed that $\mathcal{G}$ is an N-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-based Lie algebra. For simplicity $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$ also denote the local coordinates in $\mathcal{M}$ and $(\varepsilon_I)_{I=1,...,N}$ denotes an orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the Yang-Mills potential is locally defined by the following equality:

$$A = A_i^I dx^i \otimes \varepsilon_I, \text{ with } A_i^I : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

The Yang-Mills field is the curvature of the Yang-Mills potential. It is represented by a $\mathcal{G}$-valued antisymmetric $2-$form F defined on $\mathcal{M}$ by the following equality:

$$F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A,A]. \tag{2.6a}$$

In the local coordinates $\left(x^i\right)$ and basis (ε_I) the above equality $(2.6a)$ reads:

$$F_{ij}^I = \nabla_i A_j^I - \nabla_j A_i^I + [A_i, A_j]^I = \nabla_i A_j^I - \nabla_j A_i^I + C_{JK}^I A_i^J A_j^K, \tag{2.6b}$$

or in the summary form

$$F_{ij} = \nabla_i A_j - \nabla_j A_i + [A_i, A_j], \tag{2.6c}$$

where ∇ denotes the covariant derivative w.r.t. the space-time metric, and C_{JK}^I are the structure constants of the Lie group G.

In addition to the Yang-Mills field, many physical theories consider a Higgs field or a scalar multiplet which is represented by a $\mathcal{G}$-valued function Φ defined on $\mathcal{M}$. In the local basis (ε_I), Φ is defined as follows:

$$\Phi = \Phi^I \varepsilon_I, \text{ with } \Phi^I : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.7}$$

2.2 The EYMH equations

Throughout the paper Roman indices vary from 1 to 4 and the standard convention of summing over repeated indices is used i.e., $u_i v^i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} u_i v^i$. Comma denotes partial derivative i.e., $u_{,i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}$. We also denote $Du = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}\right)_{i=1,...,4}$. For sake of clarity, we omit the multiplicative physical constant that usually appears beside the stress-energy tensor and take the cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$. In the local coordinates $\left(x^i\right)$ on $\mathcal{M}$, the EYMH system reads as follows (see [1, 8, 14, 15, 29])

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = \rho_{ij}, \\ &\widehat{\nabla}_i F^{ij} = J^j, \\ &\widehat{\nabla}_i \widehat{\nabla}^i \Phi = H. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Recall that (R_{ij}) and R are respectively the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of the metric g i.e.,

$$R_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{ij,k} - \Gamma^k_{ik,j} + \Gamma^k_{kl}\Gamma^l_{ij} - \Gamma^k_{jl}\Gamma^l_{ik}, \quad R = g^{ij}R_{ij}, \tag{2.9}$$

where Γ^k_{ij} are the Christofell symbols relative to the space-time metric g. F^{ij} are the contravariant components of the Yang-Mills field (here and throughout the section, indices are raised or lowered w.r.t. the space-time metric g i.e., $F^{ij} = g^{ia}g^{jb}F_{ab}$). Φ is the Higgs field. ρ_{ij} is the energy-momentum or the stress-energy tensor which is defined by

$$\rho_{ij} = F_{ik}.F_j{}^{k} - \frac{1}{4}g_{ij}F_{kl}.F^{kl} + \Phi_{ij}, \tag{2.10a}$$

where

$$\Phi_{ij} = \widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_j\Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\left(\widehat{\nabla}_k\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi + V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right), \tag{2.10b}$$

with

$$\Phi^2 = \Phi.\Phi, \tag{2.10c}$$

V is a C^∞ real valued function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ (often called the self interaction potential). J^k is the Yang-Mills current defined by

$$J^k\left(A,\Phi,D\Phi\right) = \left[\Phi,\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi\right]. \tag{2.10d}$$

$\widehat{\nabla}$ is the gauge covariant derivative or the Yang-Mills operator; it acts on Φ and F^{ij} as follows

$$\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi = \nabla_i\Phi + \left[A_i,\Phi\right], \quad \widehat{\nabla}_iF^{ij} = \nabla_iF^{ij} + \left[A_i,F^{ij}\right]. \tag{2.11}$$

$H\left(\Phi\right)$ is the Higgs potential; it is a C^∞ $\mathcal{G}$-valued function given by (see [8]):

$$H^I\left(\Phi\right) = V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi^I, \tag{2.12}$$

where V' is the derivative of V.

Remark 2.2. (i) *Due to the following consequences of Bianchi identities*

$$\nabla^i\left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij}\right) = 0, \quad \widehat{\nabla}_i\widehat{\nabla}_jF^{ij} = 0,$$

it is easy to see that: if the EYMH system (2.8) *is satisfied, then the stress-energy tensor* ρ_{ij} *and the current* J^a *satisfy the following conservation laws*

$$\nabla_i\rho^{ij} = 0, \quad \widehat{\nabla}_iJ^i = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

(ii) *Due to the expression* $(2.10d)$ *of* J^a, *the Higgs potential* H *must satisfy the following algebraic structural condition*

$$\left[H\left(\Phi\right),\Phi\right] = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

The relation (2.14) *is fulfilled by* $H\left(\Phi\right)$ *given by* (2.12).

(iii) *If the YMH system is satisfied then a direct calculation shows that the conservation laws* (2.13) *are fulfilled by the stress-energy tensor given by* $(2.10a)$ *and the current given by* $(2.10d)$, *for* $H\left(\Phi\right)$ *given by* (2.12). It results that *the EYMH system is coherent*.

(iv) *The proof of* (iii) *is provided in Appendix A.*

2.3 The reduced EYMH system

It is a well known fact that system (2.8) is not an evolution system as it stands. In order to reduce it to an evolution system, one needs to impose to the unknown functions (the components of the unknown metric and those of the unknown Yang-Mills potential) some supplementary conditions called gauge conditions or to choose a special or preferred system of coordinates. In the present paper we will use the Lorentz gauge condition and the harmonic coordinates which were historically the first special coordinates (e.g., in 1952, Y. Choquet-Bruhat [7] used these special coordinates to prove the local well-posedness of the vacuum Einstein equations).

Definition 2.3. Let $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$ be local coordinates on a $4-d$ manifold $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with a Lorentzian metric g. $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$ are called harmonic coordinates if they satisfy the following equation

$$\Box_g x^i = 0,\ i = 1,2,3,4, \tag{2.15}$$

where $\Box_g = \nabla_k \nabla^k$ is the geometric wave operator, ∇ representing the covariant derivative relative to the metric g.

Let $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$ be local coordinates on a $4-d$ manifold $\mathcal{M}$ equipped with a Lorentzian metric g. Recall the definition of the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} g^{km} \left(g_{mj,i} + g_{mi,j} - g_{ij,m}\right), \tag{2.16}$$

and set

$$\Gamma^k = g^{ij} \Gamma^k_{ij}, \tag{2.17}$$

where g^{ij} denotes the inverse of g_{ij} i.e.,

$$g^{ij} g_{ik} = \delta^j_k = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } j = k, \\ 0 \text{ if } j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see by a simple calculation that the local coordinates $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$ are harmonic if and only if the metric g satisfy the following so-called harmonic gauge condition

$$\Gamma^k = 0,\ \forall k = 1,...,4. \tag{2.18}$$

The following different equivalent forms of the harmonic gauge condition (2.18) will be used judiciously:

$$\Gamma^k = 0 \Leftrightarrow g^{lm} g_{nm,l} = \frac{1}{2} g^{lm} g_{lm,n} \Leftrightarrow g^{lk}_{,l} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{kn} g^{lm} g_{lm,n} = \frac{1}{2} g^{kn} g_{lm} g^{lm}_{,n}. \tag{2.19}$$

We now define the Lorentz gauge condition.

Definition 2.4. Relative to the coordinates $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$, the Yang-Mills potential A satisfies the Lorentz gauge condition if

$$\Delta \equiv \nabla_i A^i = 0. \tag{2.20}$$

Let us now consider the complete Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs equations (2.8) in arbitrary local coordinates $\left(x^i\right)_{i=1,...,4}$. The following proposition provides the reduction of the EYMH equations, with unknowns $\left(g_{ij}, A_p, \Phi\right)$, modulo the harmonic gauge and the Lorentz gauge conditions.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* (g_{ij}, A_p, Φ) *be such that the complete EYMH equations* (2.8) *are satisfied together with the harmonic gauge condition* (2.18) *and the Lorentz gauge condition* (2.20). *Then* (g_{ij}, A_p, Φ) *solves the following system of reduced EYMH equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{R}_{ij} = \tau_{ij}\left(g, A, \Phi, Dg, DA, D\Phi\right), \\ &LA_p = J_p\left(A, \Phi, D\Phi\right), \\ &S\Phi = H\left(\Phi\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}_{ij} &\equiv R_{ij} - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(g_{ki}\Gamma^k_{,j} + g_{kj}\Gamma^k_{,i}\right)\\
&= -\tfrac{1}{2}g^{km}g_{ij,mk} + Q_{ij}(g,Dg),\\
\tau_{ij} &= F_{ik}F_j{}^k - \tfrac{1}{4}g_{ij}F_{kl}F^{kl} + \widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_j\Phi + \tfrac{1}{2}g_{ij}V\left(\Phi^2\right),\\
LA_p &\equiv g_{jp}\widehat{\nabla}_iF^{ij} + \left(\Delta_{,p} + \Gamma^l_{,p}A_l + \Gamma^lA_{l,p}\right)\\
&= g^{ik}A_{p,ik} + g^{ki}_{,p}A_{k,i} + g^{ik}\left[A_k,A_p\right]_{,i}\\
&\quad + g_{jp}\left(g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)_{,i}\left[A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k,A_l\right]\right]\\
&\quad + g_{jp}\Gamma^i_{im}F^{mj} + g_{jp}\Gamma^j_{im}F^{im} + g_{jp}\left[A_i,F^{ij}\right],\\
S\Phi &\equiv \widehat{\nabla}_i\widehat{\nabla}^i\Phi + \Gamma^l\Phi_{,l} - [\Delta,\Phi]\\
&= g^{ij}\Phi_{,ij} + 2\left[A_i,\nabla^i\Phi\right] + \left[A_i,\left[A^i,\Phi\right]\right].
\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

Here Q_{ij} is a rational function of its arguments depending quadratically on Dg, given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{ij}(g,Dg) = {}& \tfrac{1}{2}\left(g_{ki,j} + g_{kj,i}\right)\Gamma^k + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{km}g^{nl}\left(g_{nk,j}g_{im,l} + g_{nk,i}g_{jm,l}\right)\\
&- \tfrac{1}{4}g^{km}g^{nl}g_{kn,i}g_{lm,j} - \tfrac{1}{2}g^{km}g^{nl}g_{mn,k}\left(g_{lj,i} + g_{li,j} - g_{ij,l}\right)\\
&+ \tfrac{1}{4}g^{km}g^{nl}g_{km,l}\left(g_{in,j} + g_{jn,i} - g_{ij,n}\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}g^{km}g^{nl}g_{ki,n}\left(g_{lj,m} - g_{mj,l}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.23}$$

Proof. See [15]. ☐

Remark 2.6. (i) *Due to* (2.22), *any solution* (g_{ij},A_p,Φ) *of the reduced EYMH system* (2.21) *that satisfies the constraints* $\Gamma^k \equiv g^{ij}\Gamma^k_{ij} = 0$ *and* $\Delta \equiv \nabla_iA^i = 0$ *is also a solution of the complete EYMH system* (2.8).

(ii) *For the constraints* $\Gamma^k = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *to be satisfied everywhere, it is enough that they are satisfied on* $G^1 \cup G^2$ (see [18])*: one uses the Bianchi identities to show that* $\left(\Gamma^k,\Delta\right)$ *solves a second order homogeneous linear system.*

(iii) *The reduced EYMH system* (2.21) *constitutes the evolution system associated to the EYMH system* (2.8).

(iv) *The resolution of the constraints problem consists in constructing, from arbitrary choice of some components of the gravitational potentials and Yang-Mills potentials (called free data) on* $G^1 \cup G^2$, *of all initial data for the reduced EYMH such that the constraints* $\Gamma^k = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *are satisfied on* $G^1 \cup G^2$ *for the solution of the corresponding evolution problem.*

3 The constraints problem for the characteristic EYMH system

The goal here is to construct C^∞ initial data for the reduced EYMH system such that the constraints $\Gamma^k = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ are satisfied on $G^1 \cup G^2$ for the solution of the corresponding evolution problem. The problem is addressed in three main steps through a judicious adaptation of the hierarchical method set up by Rendall [24] to construct, for the Einstein equations in vacuum and with perfect fluid source, C^∞ data satisfying the harmonic gauge conditions $\Gamma^k = 0$ on $G^1 \cup G^2$. The construction of the data is done fully on G^1 and it will be clear that data on G^2 are constructed in quite a similar way. The novelty here is that the data are constructed for the EYMH model whereas those of [24] were constructed either for the vacuum Einstein or Einstein-perfect fluid models. Moreover all calculations, though very tedious and lengthy, are performed in details. This work constitute an important step towards the global resolution, by energy methods, of the Goursat problem associated to the EYMH equations in spaces of functions of finite differentiability order. The construction will be made in a standard harmonic coordinates system. The existence of such standard harmonic coordinates system has been established by A. D. Rendall [24].

Definition 3.1. *Let M be a* 4*-dimensional manifold endowed with a lorentzian metric μ, N^1 and N^2 two intersecting null hypersurfaces, $S = N^1 \cap N^2$. Consider a local coordinates system $\left(x^i\right)$ in a neighborhood of $N^1 \cup N^2$. $\left(x^i\right)$ is a standard harmonic system w.r.t. μ, N^1 and N^2 if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $\left(x^i\right)$ *is a harmonic system w.r.t.* μ i.e., $\mu^{ij}\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij} = 0$ *for all* k, *where* $\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij}$ *are the Christoffel symbols relative to the metric* μ in local coordinates $\left(x^i\right)$.

(ii) N^1 *and* N^2 *are locally defined by* $x^1 = 0$ *and* $x^2 = 0$ *respectively*,

(iii) x^1 *is an affine parameter along the null geodesics that generate* N^2,

(iv) x^2 *is an affine parameter along the null geodesics that generate* N^1,

(v) x^3 *and* x^4 *are constant along the null geodesics that generate* N^1 *or* N^2.

Consequence of the above definition (see [24, 25])
If (x^i) is a standard harmonic system w.r.t. γ, N^1 and N^2, then the following relations hold:
On N^1,

$$\gamma_{2i} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1, \quad \gamma_{22,1} = 2\gamma_{12,2}. \tag{3.1}$$

On N^2,

$$\gamma_{1i} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 2, \quad \gamma_{11,2} = 2\gamma_{12,1}. \tag{3.2}$$

For the sake of completeness of the paper we recall the implementation of the method of A. D. Rendall [24] to construct C^∞ initial data on G_T^1 for the characteristic EYMH system as in [15]. In the course of doing this, we provide proofs of some important statements that were missing in [15]. Those proofs constitute the main contribution of the present work, in comparison with paragraph 7.4 of [15]. We assume the following conditions for the free data

$$\begin{array}{l} g_{22} = g_{23} = g_{24} = 0, \quad A_2 = 0 \text{ on } G_T^1, \\ \Phi,\ A_3 \text{ and } A_4 \text{ are given } C^\infty \text{ functions on } G_T^1. \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.2. *The conditions $g_{22} = g_{23} = g_{24} = 0$ on G_T^1 are in accordance with (3.1) since the general idea is to produce a space-time for which the given coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^4$ are standard coordinates.*

3.1 Construction of $\left(g_{\alpha\beta}\right)_{\alpha,\beta\in\{3,4\}}$ and g_{12}, arrangement of relations $\Gamma^1 = 0$ and $g_{22,1} = 2g_{12,2}$ on G_T^1

Let $T \in (0, T_0]$, $\left(h_{\alpha\beta}\right)$ a matrix function with determinant 1 at each point of G_T^1. Set $g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\Omega > 0$ is an unknown function called the conformity factor. From the free data given above in (3.3) one easily sees that the following algebraic relations hold on G_T^1

$$\begin{array}{l} g_{12} g^{12} = 1, \quad g^{11} = g^{1\alpha} = 0, \\ g^{2\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = -g^{12} g_{1\alpha}, \quad g_{\lambda\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} = \delta^\alpha_\lambda. \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

At this level, the expression of R_{22} and τ_{22} are needed. A straightforward calculation shows that on G_T^1 the following equalities hold (see appendix B)

$$\begin{array}{l} R_{22} = \frac{1}{4} g^{12} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2} \left(2g_{12,2} - g_{22,1}\right) + \frac{1}{4} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g_{\lambda\beta,2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}, \\ \tau_{22} = \Omega^{-1} h^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\beta,2} + \left(\Phi_{,2}\right)^2. \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

If in addition we assume $g_{22,1} = 2g_{12,2}$ on G_T^1, then $\Gamma^1 = 0$ is equivalent to

$$g_{12,2} = \frac{1}{2} g_{12} \frac{\Omega_{,2}}{\Omega}. \tag{3.6}$$

The equation

$$\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta}_{,2} g_{\alpha\beta,2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2} = \tau_{22}, \tag{3.7}$$

provides the following non linear second order ODE with the conformity factor Ω as unknown

$$-\left(\frac{\Omega_{,2}}{\Omega}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta,2} h^{\alpha\beta}_{,2} - 2\left(\frac{\Omega_{,2}}{\Omega}\right)_{,2} = \Omega^{-1} h^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\beta,2}. \tag{3.8}$$

If we set $\Omega = e^v$, then (3.8) reads

$$2v_{,22} = f\left(x, v, v_{,2}\right), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$f\left(x, v, v_{,2}\right) = -\left(v_{,2}\right)^2 - 2e^{-v} h^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\beta,2} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta,2} h^{\alpha\beta}_{,2} - 2\left(\Phi_{,2}\right)^2. \tag{3.10}$$

The following proposition provides the construction of the conformity factor. Its proof follows directly from known local existence and uniqueness results concerning non linear ODEs (depending on parameters with C^∞ coefficients and initial data).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $T\in(0,T_0]$ and assume the following smoothness condition for the free data*

$$h_{33},h_{34},h_{44},A_3,A_4,\Phi\in C^\infty\left(G^1_T\right). \tag{3.11}$$

Take v_0, $v_1\in C^\infty(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma\equiv G^1_T\cap G^2_T$. Then there exists $T_1\in(0,T]$ such that (3.9) has a unique solution $v\in C^\infty\left(G^1_{T_1}\right)$ satisfying $v=v_0$ and $v_{,2}=v_1$ on Γ.

As the conformity factor is already known, we now consider the following first order linear ODE with unknown g_{12}

$$g_{12,2}=\frac{1}{2}g_{12}v_{,2}. \tag{3.12}$$

The following proposition provides the construction of g_{12}. Its proof follows straightforwardly from known global existence and uniqueness results concerning linear ODEs (depending on parameters with C^∞ coefficients and initial data).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $w_0\in C^\infty(\Gamma)$. Then (3.12) has a unique solution $g_{12}\in C^\infty\left(G^1_{T_1}\right)$ satisfying $g_{12}=w_0$ on Γ.*

The condition $g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ is now arranged in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. *On $G^1_{T_1}$, the reduced equation $\widetilde{R}_{22}=\tau_{22}$ is equivalent to the following homogenous ODE with unknown $g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}$*

$$\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)-g^{12}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)_{,2}=0. \tag{3.13}$$

Assume $g_{22,1}=2g_{12,2}$ on Γ. Then $g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ and so $\Gamma^1=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$.

Proof. In view of (3.12) and (3.4), it holds that $g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}=4g^{12}g_{12,2}$ on $G^1_{T_1}$. Thus on $G^1_{T_1}$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Gamma^1 &= g^{ij}g^{1k}\left(2g_{ki,j}-g_{ij,k}\right)=g^{ij}g^{12}\left(2g_{2i,j}-g_{ij,2}\right)\\
&= g^{12}\left[g^{12}\left(g_{12,2}\right)+g^{21}\left(2g_{22,1}-g_{21,2}\right)+g^{\alpha\beta}\left(-g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)\right]\\
&= g^{12}\left(2g^{12}g_{22,1}-g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)\\
&= g^{12}\left(2g^{12}g_{22,1}-4g^{12}g_{12,2}\right)\\
&= 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^1_{,2} &= \left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)\right]_{,2}\\
&= 2g^{12}g^{12}_{,2}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)+\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)_{,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation shows that $g^{12}_{,2}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}$ on $G^1_{T_1}$, since $g^{12}g_{12}=1$ on $G^1_{T_1}$. Hence

$$\Gamma^1_{,2}=-2\left(g^{12}\right)^3g_{12,2}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)+\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)_{,2}.$$

It is easy to see that $g_{k2}\Gamma^k_{,2}=g_{12}\Gamma^1_{,2}$ on $G^1_{T_1}$, since $g_{2k}=0$ for $k\neq1$. In view of (3.5) we have

$$R_{22}-g_{12}\Gamma^1_{,2}=\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)-g^{12}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)_{,2}+\tau_{22}.$$

Therefore, since $\widetilde{R}_{22}\equiv R_{22}-\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{k2}\Gamma^k_{,2}+g_{k2}\Gamma^k_{,2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}g^{km}g_{22,mk}+Q_{22}$, the reduced equation

$$\widetilde{R}_{22}=\tau_{22}$$

is equivalent to

$$\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)-g^{12}\left(g_{22,1}-2g_{12,2}\right)_{,2}=0 \text{ on } G^1_{T_1}.$$

The result now follows. □

Remark 3.6. *In the same way, given a matrix function* $\left(h_{\alpha\beta}\right)=\begin{pmatrix} h_{33} & h_{34} \\ h_{34} & h_{44} \end{pmatrix}$ *with determinant* 1 *at each point of* G^2_T*, set* $g_{\alpha\beta}=\Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$*, where* $\Omega>0$ *is an unknown function called the conformity factor. Assuming*

$$\begin{gathered} g_{11}=g_{13}=g_{14}=0 \text{ on } G^2_T, \quad A_1=0 \text{ on } G^2_T, \\ \Phi,\ A_3 \text{ and } A_4 \text{ are given } C^\infty \text{ functions on } G^2_T, \end{gathered}$$

g_{12} *and* $g_{\alpha\beta}$ *are constructed on* $G^2_{T_1}$ *and the relations* $g_{11,2}-2g_{12,1}=0$, $\Gamma^2=0$ *are arranged on* $G^2_{T_1}$.

We now proceed to the construction of the data g_{13}, g_{14} and A_1 in $C^\infty\left(G^1_{T_1}\right)$ as well as the arrangement of the relations $\Gamma^\alpha=0$ and $\Delta=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$, $\alpha=3,4$.

3.2 Construction of $g_{1\alpha}$ and A_1, arrangement of relations $\Gamma^\alpha=0$ and $\Delta=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$, $\alpha=3,4$

We seek for a combination of $R_{2\alpha}$, Γ^α, $\Gamma^\alpha_{,2}$, LA_2, Δ and $\Delta_{,2}$ that will provide a system of ODEs on $G^1_{T_1}$ with unknowns $g_{1\alpha}$ and A_1. It is at this moment that the assumption $A_2=0$ on G^1_T, which permits to avoid to deal with g_{11} at this level of the construction process, is needed. After performing tedious and lengthy calculations, we have the following result:

Proposition 3.7. (i) *On* $G^1_{T_1}$*, the following combinations hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{2\alpha}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2}+\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}g_{\alpha\beta}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta \\ & =g^{12}g_{1\alpha,22}+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g_{1\alpha,2}-g_{\alpha\beta,2}g^{\beta\lambda}g^{12}g_{1\lambda,2} \\ & +\left\{\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g_{\alpha\beta}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}+\tfrac{1}{2}\left[g_{\alpha\beta}g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,22}-g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,22}\right]\right\}g_{1\lambda}+c_\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & LA_2-2\Delta_{,2}-2g^{12}g_{12,2}\Delta+2\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}A_\nu+A_{\nu,2}\right)\Gamma^\nu+2A_\nu\Gamma^\nu_{,2} \\ & =-2g^{12}A_{1,22}-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}A_{1,2}+2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda,2}+K^\lambda g_{1\lambda}+A_g, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha= & \tfrac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)g_{12,2}\left[-2g^{12}g_{12,\alpha}+g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta}-g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right] \\ & +\tfrac{1}{4}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda}g^{12}g_{12,\lambda}+g^{\beta\lambda}g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta}-g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right] \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\left(g^{\lambda\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda}-3\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}\right)_{,\alpha}-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g_{12,\alpha} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha}+g_{12,2\alpha}\right)+\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left(g_{\lambda\beta,\alpha}+g_{\lambda\alpha,\beta}\right) \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda}g^{12}g_{12,\lambda}+g^{\beta\lambda}g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta}-g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]_{,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K^\lambda= & 4\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}+\left[2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}A_\alpha+2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]_{,2} \\ & -2\left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}-2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left[g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]_{,2}, \\ A_g= & -2\left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}g_{12,\lambda}-2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha g_{12,2\lambda} \\ & -g^{\beta\alpha}\left(\left[A_\beta,A_{\alpha,2}\right]-g^{12}g_{12,\beta}A_{\alpha,2}\right) \\ & +\left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}g_{12}\left[g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\beta}+g_{\lambda\beta,\mu}-g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}\right)\right] \\ & +g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left(g_{12}\left[g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\beta}+g_{\lambda\beta,\mu}-g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}\right)\right]\right)_{,2} \\ & -\left\{g^{\alpha\beta}\left[g^{12}g_{12,\beta}+\tfrac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}+g_{\lambda\mu,\beta}-g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]+g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}\right\}A_{\alpha,2} \\ & +\left(2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha-\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta}+g_{\delta\beta,\mu}-g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha\right)_{,2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and all the coefficients are known on $G^1_{T_1}$.

(ii) *On* $G^1_{T_1}$ *the system*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{23}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{3\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2}+\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}g_{3\beta}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{3\beta,2}-A_\beta.A_{3,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta+A_{3,2}.\Delta=\tau_{23}, \\ & R_{24}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{4\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2}+\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}g_{4\beta}+\tfrac{1}{2}g_{4\beta,2}-A_\beta.A_{4,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta+A_{4,2}.\Delta=\tau_{24}, \\ & LA_2-2\Delta_{,2}-2g^{12}g_{12,2}\Delta+2\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}A_\nu+A_{\nu,2}\right)\Gamma^\nu+2A_\nu\Gamma^\nu_{,2}=J_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

is equivalent to the following second order system of ODEs with unknown (A_1, g_{13}, g_{14})

$$\begin{aligned} &g^{12}g_{13,22} + \kappa_3^\lambda g_{1\lambda,2} + \varkappa_3.A_{1,2} + \chi_3^\lambda g_{1\lambda} + \digamma_3 = 0, \\ &g^{12}g_{14,22} + \kappa_4^\lambda g_{1\lambda,2} + \varkappa_4.A_{1,2} + \chi_4^\lambda g_{1\lambda} + \digamma_4 = 0, \\ &-2g^{12}A_{1,22} - 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}A_{1,2} + a^\lambda g_{1\lambda} + b = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where all the coefficients are known on $G^1_{T_1}$ *and given as follows*

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_3^3 = \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} - g_{3\beta,2}g^{\beta 3}, \quad \kappa_3^4 = -g_{3\beta,2}g^{\beta 4}, \quad \kappa_4^3 = -g_{4\beta,2}g^{\beta 3}, \\ &\kappa_4^4 = \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} - g_{4\beta,2}g^{\beta 4}, \quad \varkappa_3 = 2g^{12}A_{3,2}, \quad \varkappa_4 = 2g^{12}A_{4,2}, \\ &\chi_\alpha^\lambda = \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g_{\alpha\beta}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} + \tfrac{1}{2}\left[g_{\alpha\beta}g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,22} - g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,22}\right] - 2g^{\nu\lambda}g^{12}A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\nu,2}, \\ &a^\lambda = 4\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2} + \left[2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}A_\alpha + 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]_{,2} \\ &\qquad - 2\left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2} - 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left[g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]_{,2}, \\ &\digamma_\alpha = c_\alpha + g^{\beta\lambda}\left(A_{\alpha,\lambda} - A_{\lambda,\alpha} + [A_\lambda, A_\alpha]\right).A_{\beta,2}, \quad b = A_g - J_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. See appendix C. ☐

The proofs of the following statements that provide the construction of (g_{13}, g_{14}, A_1) on $G^1_{T_1}$ with $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ are direct consequences of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $a_0,\ a_1,\ b_0,\ b_1,\ c_0,\ c_1 \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$. *Then system* (3.19) *has a unique solution* (g_{13}, g_{14}, A_1) *in* $C^\infty\left(G^1_{T_1}\right)$ *satisfying*

$$(g_{13},\ g_{14},\ A_1) = (a_0,\ b_0,\ c_0) \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

and

$$(g_{13,2},\ g_{14,2},\ A_{1,2}) = (a_1,\ b_1,\ c_1) \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Now the relations $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ are arranged in the following proposition. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.9. (i) *On* $G^1_{T_1}$, *the reduced system*

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{R}_{2\alpha} = \tau_{2\alpha}, \\ &LA_2 = J_2, \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{3\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2} + \left(g^{12}g_{12,2}g_{3\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}g_{3\beta,2} - A_\beta.A_{3,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta + A_{3,2}.\Delta = 0, \\ &g_{4\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2} + \left(g^{12}g_{12,2}g_{4\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2}g_{4\beta,2} - A_\beta.A_{4,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta + A_{4,2}.\Delta = 0, \\ &2A_\beta\Gamma^\beta_{,2} - 2\Delta_{,2} - 2g^{12}g_{12,2}\Delta + 2\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}A_\beta + A_{\beta,2}\right)\Gamma^\beta = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

(ii) *if* $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *on* Γ *then* $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *on* $G^1_{T_1}$.

Proof. **Proof of item** (i). By definition of $\widetilde{R}_{ij}$ (see (2.22)) and since $\Gamma^1 = 0$ on G^1 at this step of the construction process, the reduced system

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{R}_{23} = \tau_{23}, \\ &\widetilde{R}_{24} = \tau_{24}, \\ &LA_2 = J_2, \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &R_{23} - \tfrac{1}{2}g_{3\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2} = \tau_{23}, \\ &R_{24} - \tfrac{1}{2}g_{4\beta}\Gamma^\beta_{,2} = \tau_{24}, \\ &LA_2 = J_2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.18), this is equivalent to (3.21).

Proof of item (ii). (3.21) is a linear homogeneous system of first order ODEs on $G^1_{T_1}$, with unknown $\left(\Gamma^3, \Gamma^4, \Delta\right)$, with variable x^2, with C^∞ coefficients depending smoothly on parameters x^3 and x^4. This yields $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ in $G^1_{T_1}$, if $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on Γ. (The conditions $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on Γ can be obtained upon a judicious choice of the data a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1 on Γ (see Proposition 3.8). ☐

Remark 3.10. (i) *In the same way, under assumption* $A_1 = 0$ on G^2_T, $g_{2\alpha}$ *and* A_2 *are constructed on* $G^2_{T_1}$, *the relations* $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *are established on* $G^2_{T_1}$.

(ii) *The determination of* $g_{1\alpha}$ *and* A_1 *on* $G^1_{T_1}$, *with* $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *on* $G^1_{T_1}$, *provides the determination of* $g^{2\beta}$, $g_{2\lambda,1}$ *and* $A_{2,1}$ *on* $G^1_{T_1}$ *thanks to the following relations that hold on* $G^1_{T_1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{2\beta} = -g^{12} g^{\beta\alpha} g_{1\alpha}, \\
&\Delta = g^{12}\left(A_{2,1} + A_{1,2}\right) + g^{2\alpha} A_{\alpha,2} + g^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha,\beta} + \Gamma^\alpha A_\alpha, \\
&g^{12} g_{2\lambda,1} = g_{\lambda\beta}\Gamma^\beta - g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2} - g_{\lambda\beta} g^{\beta\mu}_{,2} g^{12} g_{1\mu} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

(iii) *Similarly, the determination of* $g_{2\alpha}$ *and* A_2 *on* $G^2_{T_1}$ *with* $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ *and* $\Delta = 0$ *on* $G^2_{T_1}$ *provides the determination of* $g^{1\beta}$, $g_{1\lambda,2}$ *and* $A_{1,2}$ *on* $G^2_{T_1}$ *thanks to the following relations that hold on* $G^2_{T_1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{1\beta} = -g^{12} g^{\beta\alpha} g_{2\alpha}, \\
&\Delta = g^{12}\left(A_{2,1} + A_{1,2}\right) + g^{1\alpha} A_{\alpha,1} + g^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha,\beta} + \Gamma^\alpha A_\alpha, \\
&g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2} = g_{\lambda\beta}\Gamma^\beta - g^{12} g_{2\lambda,1} - g_{\lambda\beta} g^{\beta\mu}_{,1} g^{12} g_{2\mu} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

The last level of the hierarchy is now described.

3.3 Construction of g_{11} on $G^1_{T_1}$ and arrangement of relation $\Gamma^2 = 0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$

We now consider the reduced equations $\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{\alpha\beta}$ which are equivalent to $R_{\alpha\beta} = \tau_{\alpha\beta}$ since

$$\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{k\alpha}\Gamma^k_{,\beta} + g_{k\beta}\Gamma^k_{,\alpha}\right) \text{ and } \Gamma^1 = \Gamma^3 = \Gamma^4 = 0 \text{ on } G^1_{T_1}.$$

We seek for a combination of $g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}$ and Γ^2 that will provide an ODE with unknown g_{11}. Analogously to Proposition 3.7, the following proposition holds true:

Proposition 3.11. (i) *On* $G^1_{T_1}$ *the following combinations hold*

$$\begin{aligned}
&g^{\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta} - 2\Gamma^2_{,2} - 2g^{12} g_{12,2}\Gamma^2 \\
&= -2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11,22} + 4\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2} g_{11,2} \\
&\quad + \left\{4\left(g^{12}\right)^4 \left(g_{12,2}\right)^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}\right\} g_{11} \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta}\left(N_{\alpha\beta} + M_{\alpha\beta}\right) - 2W - 2g^{12} g_{12,2} S, \\
&g^{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\alpha\beta} = K,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where, at this level of the construction process, $N_{\alpha\beta}$, $M_{\alpha\beta}$, W, S, K *are known on* $G^1_{T_1}$ *and given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{\alpha\beta} = &-g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{22,1} g^{2\mu} g_{1\mu} - g^{12} g^{2\mu} g_{2\mu,1}\right] \\
&- 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right) - g^{12}\left(2g^{2\mu} g_{12,2} + g^{\mu\lambda} g_{2\lambda,1}\right)\left(g_{\beta\mu,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right) \\
&+ g^{12}\left(g_{2\beta,1\alpha} + g_{2\alpha,1\beta}\right) + g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(g^{12} g^{2\mu} g_{1\mu}\right)_{,2} + g^{12} g^{2\mu} g_{1\mu} g_{\alpha\beta,22} \\
&+ g^{12}_{,2}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right) + g^{2\mu}_{,2}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right) + g^{12}\left(g_{1\beta,2\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,2\beta}\right) \\
&+ g^{2\mu}\left(g_{\beta\mu,2\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,2\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,2\mu}\right) - g^{2\lambda}_{,\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta,2} + g^{\lambda\mu}_{,\lambda}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right) - g^{2\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta,2\lambda} \\
&+ g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\lambda\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\lambda\mu}\right) - \left[2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\lambda} - g_{\alpha\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]_{,\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\alpha\beta} = &-g^{12} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left[-g^{12} g_{22,1} g^{2\mu} g_{1\mu} + 2g^{2\lambda} g_{2\lambda,1} + g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{1\mu,\lambda} - g_{1\lambda,\mu}\right)\right] \\
&+ \left[g^{12} g^{2\mu} g_{1\mu} g_{\alpha\beta,2} + g^{12}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right) + g^{2\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\right]\left(3g^{12} g_{22,1} + g^{\lambda\mu} g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right) \\
&+ \left[2g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(g_{\mu\theta,\lambda} + g_{\theta\lambda,\mu} - g_{\mu\lambda,\theta}\right)\right]\left[-g^{2\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta,2} + g^{\mu\lambda}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha} + g_{\mu\alpha,\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\right] \\
&- \left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{12,\beta} + g_{2\beta,1} - g_{1\beta,2}\right)\left(g_{12,\alpha} + g_{2\alpha,1} - g_{1\alpha,2}\right) \\
&+ g^{12} g_{\mu\alpha,2}\left[g^{2\mu}\left(g_{2\beta,1} + g_{12,\beta} - g_{1\beta,2}\right) + g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{1\lambda,\beta} - g_{1\beta,\lambda}\right)\right] \\
&- 2g^{12} g^{2\lambda} g_{1\lambda} g^{\theta\mu} g_{\theta\beta,2} g_{\alpha\mu,2} - g^{12} g^{\lambda\mu} g_{\lambda\beta,2}\left(g_{1\mu,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,\mu}\right) - g^{\theta\mu} g_{\theta\beta,2} g^{2\lambda}\left(g_{\lambda\mu,\alpha} + g_{\lambda\alpha,\mu} - g_{\alpha\mu,\lambda}\right) \\
&- \left[g^{12}\left(g_{12,\beta} + g_{1\beta,2} - g_{2\beta,1}\right) + g^{2\mu} g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]\left[g^{12}\left(g_{12,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{2\alpha,1}\right) + g^{2\lambda} g_{\lambda\alpha,2}\right] \\
&- g^{12} g^{\lambda\theta} g_{\theta\alpha,2}\left(g_{1\beta,\lambda} + g_{1\lambda,\beta}\right) - g^{2\mu} g^{\lambda\theta} g_{\theta\alpha,2}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda} + g_{\mu\lambda,\beta} - g_{\lambda\beta,\mu}\right) + m_{\alpha\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha\beta} &= g^{12} g_{\lambda\beta,2}\left[g^{2\lambda}\left(g_{2\alpha,1}+g_{12,\alpha}-g_{1\alpha,2}\right)+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{1\mu,\alpha}-g_{1\alpha,\mu}\right)\right] \\ &-\left[-g^{2\mu} g_{\lambda\beta,2}+g^{\theta\mu}\left(g_{\theta\lambda,\beta}+g_{\theta\beta,\lambda}-g_{\lambda\beta,\theta}\right)\right]\left[-g^{2\lambda} g_{\alpha\mu,2}+g^{\delta\lambda}\left(g_{\delta\mu,\alpha}+g_{\delta\alpha,\mu}-g_{\alpha\mu,\delta}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 2g^{12}g^{2\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2}+\tfrac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(2g_{1\lambda,\mu}\right) \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}g^{2\mu}\left[g^{\lambda 2}\left(2g_{\mu\lambda,2}-g_{\lambda 2,\mu}\right)+g^{\lambda\theta}\left(2g_{\mu\lambda,\theta}-g_{\lambda\theta,\mu}\right)\right], \\ W &= \left[2g^{12}g^{2\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2}+g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{1\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]_{,2} \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}\left\{g^{2\mu}\left[g^{\lambda 2}\left(2g_{\mu\lambda,2}-g_{\lambda 2,\mu}\right)+g^{\lambda\theta}\left(2g_{\mu\lambda,\theta}-g_{\lambda\theta,\mu}\right)\right]\right\}_{,2}, \\ K &= 2g^{\alpha\beta}g^{2\lambda}F_{2\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta}+g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\lambda}F_{\mu\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta}-F_{12}.F^{12}-F_{34}.F^{34} \\ &\quad -F_{2\lambda}.\left[g^{21}g^{\lambda 2}F_{12}+g^{23}g^{\lambda 2}F_{32}+g^{23}g^{\lambda 4}F_{34}+g^{24}g^{\lambda 2}F_{42}+g^{24}g^{\lambda 3}F_{43}\right] \\ &\quad +g^{\alpha\beta}\left(\Phi_{,\alpha}+\left[A_\alpha,\Phi\right]\right).\left(\Phi_{,\beta}+\left[A_\beta,\Phi\right]\right)+V\left(\Phi^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

(ii) *The equation*

$$g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}-2\Gamma^2_{,2}-2g^{12}g_{12,2}\Gamma^2=g^{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.29}$$

is equivalent to the following second order ODE on $G^1_{T_1}$ with unknown g_{11},

$$-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11,22}+4\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2}g_{11,2}+\chi g_{11}+\psi=0, \tag{3.30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= 4\left(g^{12}\right)^4\left(g_{12,2}\right)^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}, \\ \psi &= \tfrac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}\left(N_{\alpha\beta}+M_{\alpha\beta}\right)-2W-2g^{12}g_{12,2}S-K. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Proof. See appendix D. □

The proof of the following statement that provides the construction of g_{11} on $G^1_{T_1}$ is straightforward.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $d_0, d_1 \in C^\infty(\Gamma)$. Then* (3.30) *has a unique solution $g_{11} \in C^\infty\left(G^1_{T_1}\right)$ satisfying $g_{11}=d_0$ and $g_{11,2}=d_1$ on Γ.*

In the following proposition the relation $\Gamma^2=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ is arranged. Its proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 3.13. (i) *On $G^1_{T_1}$ the reduced system*

$$\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta}=\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.32}$$

implies the following homogenous ODE on $G^1_{T_1}$ with unknown Γ^2

$$\Gamma^2_{,2}+g^{12}g_{12,2}\Gamma^2=0. \tag{3.33}$$

(ii) *Assume $\Gamma^2=0$ on Γ. Then $\Gamma^2=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$.*

Proof. Since $g_{23}=g_{24}=0$, $\Gamma^1=\Gamma^3=\Gamma^4=0$ on G^1 at this final step of the construction process, it follows from the definition of $\widetilde{R}_{ij}$ (see (2.22)) that the reduced equation (3.32) reads $R_{\alpha\beta}=\tau_{\alpha\beta}$. Thus, in view of (3.29), equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta}=g^{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.1.30}$$

implies (3.33). (3.33) is a linear homogenous first order ODE on $G^1_{T_1}$, with unknown function Γ^2, of the real variable x^2, with C^∞ coefficients depending smoothly on real parameters x^3 and x^4. Thus, assuming $\Gamma^2=0$ on Γ gives $\Gamma^2=0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$. □

Remark 3.14. *In the same way g_{22} is constructed on $G^2_{T_1}$ and the relation $\Gamma^1=0$ is established on $G^2_{T_1}$.*

4 Conclusion and compatibility conditions on $\Gamma \equiv G^1_{T_1} \cap G^2_{T_1}$

We have successfully adapted Rendall method through which, given a positive real number $0 < T \leq T_0$, appropriate free data $\underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}$, $\underset{\omega\alpha}{A}$ and $\underset{\omega}{\Phi}$ in $C^\infty(G^\omega_T)$ and some adequate conditions, initial data for the reduced Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system are constructed on G^ω_T, $\omega = 1,2$. For those data we have established that the solution of the evolution problem with those initial data satisfies the relations $\Gamma^i = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on $G^\omega_{T_1}$ for some $T_1 \in (0,T]$. In fact, setting $g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$ on $G^1_T \cup G^2_T$, where $h_{\alpha\beta} = \underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}$ on G^ω_T, $\omega = 1,2$, $\left(\underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}\right)$ a symmetric positive definite matrix function with determinant 1 at each point of G^ω_T, $\omega = 1,2$, and Ω an unknown positive function, we have constructed C^∞ initial data as follows:

(i) Construction of $g_{\alpha\beta}$, g_{12} on $G^1_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^1 = 0$ and $g_{22,1} = 2g_{12,2}$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ under the following conditions:
$g_{22} = g_{23} = g_{24} = 0$ on G^1_T, $\quad A_2 = 0$ on G^1_T,
g_{12}, Ω and $\Omega_{,2}$ are given C^∞ functions on Γ, such that $\Gamma^1 = 0$ and $g_{22,1} = 2g_{12,2}$ on Γ.

(ii) Construction of $g_{\alpha\beta}$, g_{12} on $G^2_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^2 = 0$ and $g_{11,2} = 2g_{12,1}$ on $G^2_{T_1}$ under the following supplementary conditions (in addition to conditions in (i))
$g_{11} = g_{13} = g_{14} = 0$ on G^2_T, $\quad A_1 = 0$ on G^2_T,
$\Omega_{,1}$ is a given C^∞ function on Γ, such that $\Gamma^2 = 0$ and $g_{11,2} = 2g_{12,1}$ on Γ.

(iii) Construction of $g_{1\alpha}$, A_1 on $G^1_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ under the following supplementary condition (in addition to conditions in (i)): $g_{1\alpha,2}$ and $A_{1,2}$ are given C^∞ functions on Γ, such that $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on Γ.

(iv) Construction of $g_{2\alpha}$, A_2 on $G^2_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on $G^2_{T_1}$ under the following supplementary condition (in addition to conditions in (ii)): $g_{2\alpha,1}$ and $A_{2,1}$ are given C^∞ functions on Γ, such that $\Gamma^\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta = 0$ on Γ.

$(5i)$ Construction of g_{11} on $G^1_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^2 = 0$ on $G^1_{T_1}$ under the following supplementary condition (in addition to conditions in (i) and (iii)): $g_{11,2}$ is a given C^∞ function on Γ, such that $\Gamma^2 = 0$ on Γ.

$(6i)$ Construction of g_{22} on $G^2_{T_1}$ such that $\Gamma^1 = 0$ on $G^2_{T_1}$ under the following supplementary condition (in addition to conditions in (ii) and (iv)): $g_{22,1}$ is a given C^∞ function on Γ, such that $\Gamma^1 = 0$ on Γ.

We now show how the above adequate conditions in (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), $(5i)$ and $(6i)$ are arranged.

Begin by taking $g_{12} = -1$ on Γ (This is a non-restrictive property that can naturally be imposed to any metric in standard coordinates, see [24] p. 232). Then choose $\left(\underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}\right)$, a C^∞ symmetric positive definite matrix function on G^ω_T with determinant 1 at each point and set $g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$, where $h_{\alpha\beta} = \underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}$ on G^ω_T, $\omega = 1,2$. Let $\Omega = v_0$ on Γ, where v_0 is a given C^∞ function on Γ. Take also

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{22} = g_{23} = g_{24} = 0, \quad A_2 = 0 \text{ on } G^1_T, \\ &g_{11} = g_{13} = g_{14} = 0, \quad A_1 = 0 \text{ on } G^2_T. \end{aligned}$$

Then all the components g_{ij} of the metric are determined on Γ since $g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$, $g_{11} = g_{1\alpha} = 0$, $g_{22} = g_{2\alpha} = 0$ on Γ, Ω and $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are known on Γ.

Next choose $\underset{\omega}{\Phi}$, $\underset{\omega 3}{A}$ and $\underset{\omega 4}{A}$, which are given C^∞ functions on G^ω_T such that

$$\underset{1}{\Phi} = \underset{2}{\Phi} \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad \underset{13}{A} = \underset{23}{A} \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad \underset{14}{A} = \underset{24}{A} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Let $\Omega_{,1} = v_1$ and $\Omega_{,2} = v_2$ on Γ, where v_1 and v_2 are two given C^∞ functions on Γ. Then Eqs. (3.6) and (3.7) on G^1_T as well as their following counterparts on G^2_T

$$g_{12,1} = \frac{1}{2} g_{12} \frac{\Omega_{,1}}{\Omega} \text{ on } G^2_T, \tag{3.6$'$}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta}_{,1} g_{\alpha\beta,1} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,1}\right)_{,1} = \tau_{11} \text{ on } G^2_T, \tag{3.7$'$}$$

are satisfied and it holds that: (see [24], p. 233)

$$g_{11,2} = g_{22,1} = \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,1} \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

This insures

$$\Gamma^1 = \Gamma^2 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \equiv G_T^1 \cap G_T^2.$$

Finally, Let $g_{13,2} = \underset{23}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\quad g_{14,2} = \underset{24}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\quad A_{1,2} = \underset{21}{\widetilde{A}}$ on Γ, where $\underset{23}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\underset{24}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\underset{21}{\widetilde{A}}$ are given C^∞ functions on Γ. Then there is only one way to choose $g_{2\beta,1}$ on Γ such that $\Gamma^3 = \Gamma^4 = 0$ on Γ. In fact, by the definition of Γ^β (see (2.17)), on G_T^1 it holds that

$$g^{12} g_{2\alpha,1} = g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\beta - g^{12} g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12} g_{1\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right], \tag{4.1}$$

and on G_T^2 it holds that

$$g^{12} g_{1\alpha,2} = g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\beta - g^{12} g_{2\alpha,1} - g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,1} g^{12} g_{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right]. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $g_{1\lambda} = 0 = g_{2\lambda}$ on Γ, it follows from (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$g^{12} g_{2\alpha,1} = g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^\beta - g^{12} g_{1\alpha,2} - \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right] \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{4.3}$$

So on Γ, $\Gamma^\beta = 0$ is equivalent to

$$g^{12} g_{2\alpha,1} = -g^{12} g_{1\alpha,2} - \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right].$$

We now proceed to arrange the condition $\Delta = 0$ on Γ. As $A_2 = 0$ on G_T^1, $A_1 = 0$ on G_T^2, $\underset{\omega\alpha}{A}$ are given as C^∞ functions on G_T^ω, there is only one way to choose $A_{2,1}$ on Γ such that $\Delta = 0$ on Γ. In fact, from the definitions of Δ and Γ^k (see (2.20) and (2.17)), on G_T^1 it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{12} A_{2,1} = {} & \Delta - g^{12} A_{1,2} + \left(2g^{12}\Gamma^1_{12} + g^{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^1_{\alpha\beta}\right) A_1 + g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha \left(g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right) \\ & - \left[2g^{12}\Gamma^\beta_{2\alpha} A_\beta g^{\alpha\lambda} + 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha - g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_{\alpha,2}\right] g_{1\lambda} \\ & - g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha g_{12,\lambda} - g^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{\beta,\alpha} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} A_\lambda\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Since $g_{1\lambda} = 0$ and $A_1 = 0$ on Γ, (4.4) implies that on Γ the following equality holds

$$g^{12} A_{2,1} = \Delta - g^{12} A_{1,2} + g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha \left(g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right) - g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha g_{12,\lambda} - g^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{\beta,\alpha} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} A_\lambda\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Hence, on Γ, $\Delta = 0$ is equivalent to

$$g^{12} A_{2,1} = -g^{12} A_{1,2} + g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha \left(g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right) - g^{12} g^{\alpha\lambda} A_\alpha g_{12,\lambda} - g^{\alpha\beta}\left(A_{\beta,\alpha} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} A_\lambda\right).$$

It follows from the above discussion that all necessary data are given on Γ and all necessary assumptions fulfilled.

We can now sum up the C^∞ resolution of the Goursat problem for the EYMH system in the following theorem, where the resolution of the evolution problem is a direct consequence of Theorem 1 of [24] and the constraints problem is solved by the method just described above in section 3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T \in (0, T_0]$ be a real number and $\omega \in \{1,2\}$. Let $\underset{\omega 33}{h}$, $\underset{\omega 34}{h}$, $\underset{\omega 44}{h}$ be C^∞ scalar functions on G_T^ω such that $\left(\underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}\right)$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix with determinant 1 at each point of G_T^ω and $\left(\underset{133}{h}, \underset{134}{h}, \underset{144}{h}\right) = \left(\underset{233}{h}, \underset{234}{h}, \underset{244}{h}\right)$ on Γ. Let $\underset{\omega}{\widetilde{\Phi}}$, $\underset{\omega 3}{\widetilde{A}}$, $\underset{\omega 4}{\widetilde{A}}$ be C^∞ functions on G_T^ω such that $\left(\underset{1}{\widetilde{\Phi}}, \underset{13}{\widetilde{A}}, \underset{14}{\widetilde{A}}\right) = \left(\underset{2}{\widetilde{\Phi}}, \underset{23}{\widetilde{A}}, \underset{24}{\widetilde{A}}\right)$ on Γ. Let C^∞ functions $\widetilde{\Omega}$, $\widetilde{\Omega}_1$, $\widetilde{\Omega}_2$, $\underset{23}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\underset{24}{\widetilde{b}}$, $\underset{21}{\widetilde{A}}$ be given on Γ. Then there exists $T_1 \in (0, T]$, a unique C^∞ scalar function Ω on $G_{T_1}^1 \cup G_{T_1}^2$, a unique C^∞ Lorentz metric g_{ij} on L_{T_1}, a unique C^∞ Yang-Mills potential A_k on L_{T_1} and a unique C^∞ Higgs function Φ on L_{T_1} such that:*

(1) $g_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega h_{\alpha\beta}$ *on* $G^1_{T_1} \cup G^2_{T_1}$, *where* $h_{\alpha\beta} = \underset{\omega\alpha\beta}{h}$ *on* G^{ω}_T.

(2) $u = (g_{ij}, A_k, \Phi)$ *satisfies the Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs equations on* L_{T_1},

(3) *the given coordinates on* $\mathbb{R}^4$ *are standard coordinates for* g_{ij} *and the Lorentz gauge condition* $\nabla_k A^k = 0$ *is satisfied on* L_{T_1}, *with* $A_2 = 0$ *on* $G^1_{T_1}$ *and* $A_1 = 0$ *on* $G^2_{T_1}$,

(4) $u = (g_{ij}, A_k, \Phi)$ *induce the given data on* $G^1_{T_1} \cup G^2_{T_1}$,

(5) *on* Γ *it holds that*: $\Omega = \widetilde{\Omega}$; $\Omega_{,1} = \widetilde{\Omega}_1$; $\Omega_{,2} = \widetilde{\Omega}_2$; $g_{13,2} = \underset{23}{\widetilde{b}}$; $g_{14,2} = \underset{24}{\widetilde{b}}$ *and* $A_{1,2} = \underset{21}{\widetilde{A}}$.

Appendix A: The conservation laws for the stress-energy tensor and the current

We first show that if (g_{ij}, A_k, Φ) is such that the YMH system is satisfied then

$$\nabla^j \rho_{ij} = 0,$$

where

$$\rho_{ij} = F_{ik}.F_j{}^k - \frac{1}{4} g_{ij} F_{kl}.F^{kl} + \widehat{\nabla}_i \Phi . \widehat{\nabla}_j \Phi - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \left(\widehat{\nabla}_k \Phi . \widehat{\nabla}^k \Phi + V\left(\Phi^2\right) \right). \tag{A.1}$$

It holds that

$$\nabla^j \rho_{ij} = \nabla_p \left(g^{jp} \rho_{ij} \right). \tag{A.2}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_p \left(g^{jp} \rho_{ij} \right) = {} & \left(\nabla_p F_{ik} + \tfrac{1}{2} \nabla_i F_{kp} \right).F^{pk} + F_{ik}.\nabla_p F^{pk} \\ & + \left(\nabla_p \widehat{\nabla}_i \Phi - \nabla_i \widehat{\nabla}_p \Phi \right).\widehat{\nabla}^p \Phi + \widehat{\nabla}_i \Phi . \nabla_p \widehat{\nabla}^p \Phi - \tfrac{1}{2} \nabla_i \left[V\left(\Phi^2\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

We have to handle the terms that appear in the right hand side (r.h.s) of $(A.3)$. Using the Bianchi identity

$$\widehat{\nabla}_i F_{jk} + \widehat{\nabla}_j F_{ki} + \widehat{\nabla}_k F_{ij} = 0, \tag{A.4}$$

we get, after a simple calculation,

$$\left(\nabla_p F_{ik} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i F_{kp} \right).F^{pk} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[A_i, F_{kp} \right] + \left[A_p, F_{ik} \right] \right).F^{kp} \tag{A.5}$$

The property of the scalar product (2.4) on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ and the antisymmetry of the Yang-Mills field F yield

$$\left[A_i, F_{kp} \right].F^{pk} = A_i . \left[F_{kp}, F^{kp} \right] = 0. \tag{A.6}$$

From $(A.5)$ and $(A.6)$ it follows that

$$\left(\nabla_p F_{ik} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i F_{kp} \right).F^{pk} = \left[A_p, F_{ik} \right].F^{kp}. \tag{A.7}$$

For the term $F_{ik}.\nabla_p F^{pk}$ of the r.h.s of $(A.3)$, we use the Yang-Mills equations $\widehat{\nabla}_p F^{pk} = J^k$ to have

$$F_{ik}.\nabla_p F^{pk} = F_{ik}.\left(\widehat{\nabla}_p F^{pk} - \left[A_p, F^{pk} \right] \right) = F_{ik}.\left(J^k - \left[A_p, F^{pk} \right] \right). \tag{A.8}$$

From the expression $(2.10d)$ of the current J^k, $(A.8)$ yields

$$F_{ik}.\nabla_p F^{pk} = F_{ip}.\left[\Phi, \widehat{\nabla}^p \Phi \right] - F_{ik}.\left[A_p, F^{pk} \right]. \tag{A.9}$$

Adding $(A.9)$ to $(A.7)$ and using once more the property of the scalar product (2.4) on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, we gain

$$\left(\nabla_p F_{ik} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i F_{kp} \right).F^{pk} + F_{ik}.\nabla_p F^{pk} = F_{ip}.\left[\Phi, \widehat{\nabla}^p \Phi \right]. \tag{A.10}$$

We now handle the terms $\left(\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi-\nabla_i\widehat{\nabla}_p\Phi\right).\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi$ and $\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i\left[V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right]$ of the r.h.s of $(A.3)$. Thanks to Jacobi identity, a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\left(\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi-\nabla_i\widehat{\nabla}_p\Phi\right).\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi=\left([F_{pi},\Phi]+\left[A_i,\widehat{\nabla}_p\Phi\right]-\left[A_p,\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi\right]\right).\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi. \tag{A.11}$$

For $\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi$, we use the Higgs equations $\widehat{\nabla}_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi=H$ to have

$$\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi=\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\left(\widehat{\nabla}_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi-\left[A_p,\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi\right]\right)=\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\left(H\left(\Phi\right)-\left[A_p,\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi\right]\right). \tag{A.12}$$

From the expression (2.12) of H, $(A.12)$ implies

$$\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi=\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\left(V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi-\left[A_p,\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi\right]\right). \tag{A.13}$$

For the term $\nabla_i\left[V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right]$ we easily get

$$\nabla_i\left[V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right]=2V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi.\Phi_{,i}=2V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi.\left(\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi-[A_i,\Phi]\right). \tag{A.14}$$

From $(A.11)$, $(A.13)$ and $(A.14)$, we obtain

$$\left(\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi-\nabla_i\widehat{\nabla}_p\Phi\right).\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi+\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i\left[V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right]=[F_{pi},\Phi].\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi. \tag{A.15}$$

In view of $(A.10)$ and $(A.15)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\nabla_pF_{ik}+\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla_iF_{kp}\right).F^{pk}+F_{ik}.\nabla_pF^{pk}\\&+\left(\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi-\nabla_i\widehat{\nabla}_p\Phi\right).\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi+\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\nabla_p\widehat{\nabla}^p\Phi-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla_i\left[V\left(\Phi^2\right)\right]=0.\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

The conservation law $\nabla^j\rho_{ij}=0$ now follows from $(A.2)$, $(A.3)$ and $(A.16)$.

The proof of the conservation law $\widehat{\nabla}_kJ^k=0$ for the current $J^k=\left[\Phi,\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi\right]$ is obvious. From $\widehat{\nabla}_k\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi=H=V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi$ and $\left[\widehat{\nabla}_k\Phi,\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi\right]=0$, a direct calculation yields

$$\widehat{\nabla}_kJ^k=\left[\widehat{\nabla}_k\Phi,\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi\right]+\left[\Phi,\widehat{\nabla}_k\widehat{\nabla}^k\Phi\right]=[\Phi,H]=\left[\Phi,V'\left(\Phi^2\right)\Phi\right]=V'\left(\Phi^2\right)[\Phi,\Phi]=0.$$

Appendix B: Proof of relation (3.5)

By virtue of (2.9), it holds that

$$R_{22}=\Gamma^k_{22,k}-\Gamma^k_{2k,2}+\Gamma^k_{kl}\Gamma^l_{22}-\Gamma^k_{2l}\Gamma^l_{2k}. \tag{B.1}$$

We compute each term of the r.h.s of $(B.1)$ on G^1 by using the conditions (3.3) and (3.4) to gain

$$\begin{aligned}2\Gamma^1_{22,1}&=g^{1m}_{,1}\left(2g_{2m,2}-g_{22,m}\right)+g^{1m}\left(2g_{2m,21}-g_{22,m1}\right)\\&=g^{11}_{,1}\left(2g_{21,2}-g_{22,1}\right)+g^{12}\left(g_{22,21}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2\Gamma^2_{22,2}&=g^{2m}_{,2}\left(2g_{2m,2}-g_{22,m}\right)+g^{2m}\left(2g_{2m,22}-g_{22,m2}\right)\\&=g^{21}_{,2}\left(2g_{21,2}-g_{22,1}\right)+g^{21}\left(2g_{21,22}-g_{22,12}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$2\Gamma^\alpha_{22,\alpha}=g^{\alpha m}_{,\alpha}\left(2g_{2m,2}-g_{22,m}\right)+g^{\alpha m}\left(2g_{2m,2\alpha}-g_{22,m\alpha}\right)=0,\quad \alpha=3,4.$$

Thus

$$2\Gamma^k_{22,k}=\left(g^{11}_{,1}+g^{12}_{,2}\right)\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)+2g^{12}g_{12,22}. \tag{B.2}$$

By expanding the equalities $\left(g^{1i}g_{2i}\right)_{,1}=0$ and $\left(g^{2i}g_{2i}\right)_{,2}=0$ on G^1, we get the respective equalities

$$g^{11}_{,1}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{22,1}, \tag{B.3}$$

and

$$g^{12}_{,2} = -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}. \tag{B.4}$$

Then, considering $(B.2)$, $(B.3)$ and $(B.4)$, we obtain

$$2\Gamma^k_{22,k} = -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 \left(g_{12,2}+g_{22,1}\right)\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)+2g^{12}g_{12,22}. \tag{B.5}$$

Similarly we obtain

$$2\Gamma^k_{2k,2} = -2\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}\right)^2+2g^{12}g_{12,22}+\left(g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}. \tag{B.6}$$

$(B.5)$ and $(B.6)$ yield

$$\Gamma^k_{22,k}-\Gamma^k_{2k,2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{22,1}\left(g_{22,1}-g_{12,2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}. \tag{B.7}$$

It also holds that

$$4\Gamma^k_{kl}\Gamma^l_{22} = 4\left(\Gamma^k_{k1}\Gamma^1_{22}+\Gamma^k_{k2}\Gamma^2_{22}+\Gamma^k_{k\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{22}\right).$$

Straightforward computations on G^1 give

$$\begin{array}{l} 2\Gamma^1_{22}=0, \quad 2\Gamma^2_{22}=g^{12}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right), \quad 2\Gamma^\alpha_{22}=0, \\ 2\Gamma^1_{12}=g^{12}g_{22,1}, \quad 2\Gamma^\alpha_{2\alpha}=g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}. \end{array}$$

This implies

$$2\Gamma^k_{k2} = 2g^{12}g_{12,2}+g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}.$$

Thus

$$4\Gamma^k_{kl}\Gamma^l_{22} = g^{12}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)\left(2g^{12}g_{12,2}+g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right). \tag{B.8}$$

In the same way we have

$$4\Gamma^k_{2l}\Gamma^l_{2k} = 4\left(\Gamma^k_{12}\Gamma^1_{2k}+\Gamma^k_{22}\Gamma^2_{2k}+\Gamma^k_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{2k}\right),$$

with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 4\Gamma^k_{12}\Gamma^1_{2k} & = & 4\left(\Gamma^1_{12}\Gamma^1_{12}+\Gamma^2_{12}\Gamma^1_{22}+\Gamma^\alpha_{12}\Gamma^1_{2\alpha}\right)=\left(g^{12}g_{22,1}\right)^2, \\ 4\Gamma^k_{22}\Gamma^2_{2k} & = & 4\left(\Gamma^1_{22}\Gamma^2_{12}+\Gamma^2_{22}\Gamma^2_{22}+\Gamma^\alpha_{22}\Gamma^2_{2\alpha}\right)=\left[g^{12}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)\right]^2, \\ 4\Gamma^k_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{2k} & = & 4\left(\Gamma^1_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{12}+\Gamma^2_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{22}+\Gamma^\beta_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{2\beta}\right)=\left(g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}\right)\left(g^{\alpha\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right), \end{array}$$

as simple calculation on G^1 shows that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma^1_{22} & = & \Gamma^1_{2\alpha}=\Gamma^\alpha_{22}=0, \quad 2\Gamma^1_{12}=g^{12}g_{22,1}, \quad 2\Gamma^2_{22}=g^{12}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right), \\ 2\Gamma^\beta_{2\alpha} & = & g^{\beta m}\left(g_{m\alpha,2}+g_{2m,\alpha}-g_{2\alpha,m}\right)=g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}. \end{array}$$

Now the following relations hold

$$\left(g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha}\right)_{,2}=0 \Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}+g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\alpha}=0 \Leftrightarrow g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}=-g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\alpha}.$$

Therefore

$$4\Gamma^k_{2\alpha}\Gamma^\alpha_{2k} = -g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\alpha}g^{\alpha\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2} = -g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\beta,2}.$$

Thus

$$4\Gamma^k_{2l}\Gamma^l_{2k} = \left(g^{12}g_{22,1}\right)^2+\left[g^{12}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)\right]^2-g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\beta,2}. \tag{B.9}$$

$(B.8)$ and $(B.9)$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^k_{kl}\Gamma^l_{22}-\Gamma^k_{2l}\Gamma^l_{2k} = {} & \frac{1}{4}g^{12}g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(2g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{22,1}\left(g_{12,2}-g_{22,1}\right)+\frac{1}{4}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{\lambda\beta,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

In view of $(B.1)$, $(B.7)$ and $(B.10)$, we finally gain the first equality of (3.5).

On the other hand, in view of (2.22), we have

$$\tau_{22} = F_{2k}.F_2{}^{\ k} - \frac{1}{4} g_{22} F_{kl}.F^{kl} + \widehat{\nabla}_2 \Phi . \widehat{\nabla}_2 \Phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{22} V\left(\Phi^2\right). \tag{B.11}$$

On G^1, given that (see (3.3) and (3.4)) $g^{11} = g^{1\alpha} = 0$, $g_{22} = g_{2\alpha} = 0$, and $A_2 = 0$, $(B.11)$ yields the second equality of (3.5) by a direct and simple calculation.

Appendix C: Proof of Proposition 3.7

Proof of item (i)

Proof of (3.14)**.** By definition

$$R_{2\alpha} = \Gamma^k_{2\alpha,k} - \Gamma^k_{2k,\alpha} + \Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{2\alpha} - \Gamma^k_{l\alpha}\Gamma^l_{2k}. \tag{C.1}$$

We compute each term of the r.h.s of $(C.1)$ on G^1 by using the conditions (3.3) and (3.4) to gain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Gamma^k_{2\alpha,k} &= \left(g^{11}_{,1} + g^{12}_{,2}\right)\left(g_{12,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{2\alpha,1}\right) + g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha} + g_{12,2\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,22} - g_{2\alpha,12}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(g^{1\beta}_{,1} + g^{2\beta}_{,2}\right) g_{\alpha\beta,2} + g^{2\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,22} + \left(g^{\lambda\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.1a}$$

By expanding the equalities $\left(g^{i\beta} g_{2i}\right)_{,1} = 0$ and $\left(g^{i\beta} g_{1i}\right)_{,2} = 0$ on G^1, we get

$$g^{1\beta}_{,1} = -g^{12}\left(2g^{2\beta} g_{12,2} + g^{\lambda\beta} g_{2\lambda,1}\right), \quad g^{2\beta}_{,2} = -g^{12}\left(g^{2\beta} g_{12,2} + g^{\lambda\beta} g_{1\lambda,2} + g^{\lambda\beta}_{,2} g_{1\lambda}\right). \tag{C.1b}$$

$(B.3)$, $(B.4)$, $(C.1a)$ and $(C.1b)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Gamma^k_{2\alpha,k} &= -3\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{12,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{2\alpha,1}\right) \\ &\quad + g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha} + g_{12,2\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,22} - g_{2\alpha,12}\right) \\ &\quad - g^{12}\left[3g^{2\beta} g_{12,2} + g^{\lambda\beta}\left(g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right) + g^{\lambda\beta}_{,2} g_{1\lambda}\right] g_{\alpha\beta,2} \\ &\quad + g^{2\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,22} + \left(g^{\lambda\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.2a}$$

We now compute Γ^k_{2k} and $\Gamma^k_{2k,\alpha}$ on G^1 by using equalities $g^{\beta\lambda} g_{\lambda\beta,2} = 4g^{12} g_{12,2}$ and $2g_{12,2} - g_{22,1} = 0$ (see proof of Proposition 3.5), to have

$$2\Gamma^k_{2k} = 6g^{12} g_{12,2}, \quad \Gamma^k_{2k,\alpha} = 3\left(g^{12} g_{12,2}\right)_{,\alpha}. \tag{C.2b}$$

$(C.2a)$ and $(C.2b)$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^k_{2\alpha,k} - \Gamma^k_{2k,\alpha} &= -\frac{3}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{2\alpha,1}\right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{12}\left(g_{1\alpha,22} - g_{2\alpha,12}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} g^{12}\left[3g^{2\beta} g_{12,2} + g^{\lambda\beta}\left(g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right) + g^{\lambda\beta}_{,2} g_{1\lambda}\right] g_{\alpha\beta,2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g^{2\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,22} + \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\lambda\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda} - 3\left(g^{12} g_{12,2}\right)_{,\alpha} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{12,\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha} + g_{12,2\alpha}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{C.2c}$$

In addition, direct calculations on G^1 give

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{2\alpha} &= 6g^{12} g_{12,2}\left[g^{21}\left(g_{21,\alpha} + g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{2\alpha,1}\right) + g^{2\beta} g_{\beta\alpha,2}\right] \\ &\quad + g^{\beta\lambda} g_{\lambda\alpha,2}\left[2g^{12} g_{12,\beta} + g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda} + g_{\lambda\mu,\beta} - g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{C.3a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}4\Gamma^k_{l\alpha}\Gamma^l_{2k} = {} & 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{12,\alpha}+g_{2\alpha,1}-g_{1\alpha,2}\right)\\ & -g^{12}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}\left[2g^{2\lambda}g_{12,2}+g^{\lambda\beta}\left(g_{1\beta,2}+g_{2\beta,1}-g_{12,\beta}\right)\right]\\ & +g^{\beta\theta}g_{\theta\alpha,2}\left[g^{12}\left(g_{1\beta,2}+g_{12,\beta}-g_{2\beta,1}\right)+g^{2\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]\\ & +g^{\lambda\theta}g_{\theta\beta,2}\left[-g^{\beta2}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}+g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\lambda\mu,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\lambda}-g_{\lambda\alpha,\mu}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C.3b}$$

From the relation $\left(g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta}\right)_{,2}=0$, $(C.3a)$ and $(C.3b)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{2\alpha}-\Gamma^k_{l\alpha}\Gamma^l_{2k} = {} & 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{1\alpha,2}-g_{2\alpha,1}\right)\\ & +2g^{12}g_{12,2}g^{2\beta}g_{\beta\alpha,2}+\frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{2\lambda,1}\\ & +\frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left(g_{\lambda\beta,\alpha}+g_{\lambda\alpha,\beta}\right)+\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g_{12,\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.3c}$$

In view of $(C.1)$, $(C.2c)$ and $(C.3c)$ give

$$\begin{aligned}R_{2\alpha} = {} & \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{1\alpha,2}-g_{2\alpha,1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}g^{12}\left(g_{1\alpha,22}-g_{2\alpha,12}\right)\\ & +\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g_{12,2}g^{2\beta}g_{\beta\alpha,2}-\frac{1}{2}g^{12}\left[g^{\lambda\beta}g_{1\lambda,2}+g^{\lambda\beta}_{,2}g_{1\lambda}\right]g_{\alpha\beta,2}\\ & +\frac{1}{2}g^{2\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,22}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\lambda\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda}-3\left(g^{12}g_{12,2}\right)_{,\alpha}\\ & -\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g_{12,\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha}+g_{12,2\alpha}\right)+\frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left(g_{\lambda\beta,\alpha}+g_{\lambda\alpha,\beta}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Calculation of $\tau_{2\alpha}$
In view of (2.22) it holds that

$$\tau_{ij}=F_{ik}.F_j{}^{\ k}-\frac{1}{4}g_{ij}F_{kl}.F^{kl}+\widehat{\nabla}_i\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_j\Phi+\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}V\left(\Phi^2\right). \tag{C.5a}$$

This gives, since $g_{2\alpha}=0$ on G^1,

$$\tau_{2\alpha}=F_{2k}F_\alpha{}^{\ k}+\widehat{\nabla}_2\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha\Phi. \tag{C.5b}$$

$(C.5b)$ reads

$$\tau_{2\alpha}=F_{21}.F_\alpha{}^{\ 1}+F_{2\beta}.F_\alpha{}^{\ \beta}+\widehat{\nabla}_2\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_\alpha\Phi, \tag{C.5c}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}& F_{21}=A_{1,2}-A_{2,1}+\left[A_2,A_1\right],\quad F_\alpha{}^{\ 1}=g^{1i}F_{\alpha i}=g^{12}F_{\alpha2},\\ & F_\alpha{}^{\ \beta}=g^{\beta i}F_{\alpha i}=g^{2\beta}F_{\alpha2}+g^{\beta\lambda}F_{\alpha\lambda}=-g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}g_{1\lambda}F_{\alpha2}+g^{\beta\lambda}F_{\alpha\lambda}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

$(C.5c)$ and $(C.6)$ give

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2\alpha} = {} & -g^{12}F_{2\alpha}.\left(A_{1,2}-A_{2,1}+\left[A_2,A_1\right]\right)+g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}F_{2\alpha}.F_{2\beta}g_{1\lambda}-g^{\beta\lambda}F_{\lambda\alpha}.F_{2\beta}\\ & +\left(\Phi_{,2}+\left[A_2,\Phi\right]\right).\left(\Phi_{,\alpha}+\left[A_\alpha,\Phi\right]\right).\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

Now

$$F_{2\alpha}=A_{\alpha,2}-A_{2,\alpha}+\left[A_2,A_\alpha\right],\ F_{\lambda\alpha}=A_{\alpha,\lambda}-A_{\lambda,\alpha}+\left[A_\lambda,A_\alpha\right]. \tag{C.8}$$

In view of $(C.8)$, the assumption $A_2=0$ on G^1 implies that $F_{2\alpha}=A_{\alpha,2}$ and $\left[A_2,A_1\right]=\left[A_2,\Phi\right]=0$ on G^1. It therefore follows from $(C.7)$ and $(C.8)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2\alpha} = {} & -g^{12}A_{\alpha,2}\left(A_{1,2}-A_{2,1}\right)+g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\beta,2}g_{1\lambda}\\ & -g^{\beta\lambda}A_{\beta,2}\left(A_{\alpha,\lambda}-A_{\lambda,\alpha}+\left[A_\lambda,A_\alpha\right]\right)+\left(\Phi_{,2}\right).\left(\Phi_{,\alpha}+\left[A_\alpha,\Phi\right]\right).\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

Similarly, the following equality holds on G^1:

$$\Gamma^{\beta} = g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} \left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12} g_{1\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]. \tag{C.10}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\beta}_{,2} &= g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{\beta\lambda}\left[g^{12}_{,2}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,22} + g_{\lambda 2,12}\right)\right]\\ &+ g^{\beta\lambda}_{,22} g^{12} g_{1\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left[g^{12}_{,2} g_{1\lambda} + g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]_{,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

Replacing $g^{12}_{,2}$ by its expression given by $(B.4)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{\beta}_{,2} &= g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{\beta\lambda}\left[-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,22} + g_{\lambda 2,12}\right)\right]\\ &+ g^{\beta\lambda}_{,22} g^{12} g_{1\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left[-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{1\lambda} + g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2}\right]\\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]_{,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{,2} &= g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}\left[-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(g_{\lambda 1,2} + g_{\lambda 2,1}\right) + g^{12}\left(g_{\lambda 1,22} + g_{\lambda 2,12}\right)\right]\\ &+ g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,22} g^{12} g_{1\lambda} + g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left[-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{1\lambda} + g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2}\right]\\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]_{,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

Using $(C.4)$ and $(C.13)$, we finally gain

$$\begin{aligned}R_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta}_{,2} &= g^{12} g_{1\alpha,22} - \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{2\alpha,1} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta,2} g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12}\left(3g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{2\lambda,1}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}\left(g^{12} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\right)_{,2} g_{1\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} g^{12} g^{\lambda\beta}_{,2} g_{\alpha\beta,2} g_{1\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{\alpha\beta,2} g^{\beta\lambda} g_{1\lambda}\\ &- \frac{1}{2} g^{12} g^{\beta\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta,22} g_{1\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{\lambda\beta} g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,\lambda} - 3\left(g^{12} g_{12,2}\right)_{,\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2} g_{12,\alpha}\\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{12}\left(g_{22,1\alpha} + g_{12,2\alpha}\right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\left(g_{\lambda\beta,\alpha} + g_{\lambda\alpha,\beta}\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right]_{,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

Now $(C.10)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{2\lambda,1} &= \Gamma^{\beta} - g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{1\lambda,2} - g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12} g_{1\lambda}\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{\beta\lambda} g^{12} g_{12,\lambda} + g^{\beta\lambda} g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\lambda\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}g^{12} g_{2\alpha,1} &= g_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\beta} - g^{12} g_{1\alpha,2} - g_{\alpha\beta} g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2} g^{12} g_{1\lambda}\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\left[-2g^{12} g_{12,\alpha} + g^{\mu\theta}\left(2g_{\alpha\mu,\theta} - g_{\mu\theta,\alpha}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C.16}$$

The insertion of $(C.15)$ and $(C.16)$ in $(C.14)$ yields the expected relation (3.14).

Proof of (3.15)**.** From (2.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned}LA_2 &= g^{ik} A_{2,ik} + g^{ki}_{,2} A_{k,i} + g^{ik}\left[A_k, A_2\right]_{,i}\\ &+ g_{j2}\left[\left(g^{ik} g^{jl}\right)_{,i}\left[A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right] + \Gamma^{i}_{im} F^{mj} + \Gamma^{j}_{im} F^{im} + \left[A_i, F^{ij}\right]\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

Calculation of $g^{ik}A_{2,ik}$, $g^{ki}_{,2}A_{k,i}$ and $g^{ik}\left[A_k, A_2\right]_{,i}$. It holds that

$$g^{ik}A_{2,ik} = 2g^{12}A_{2,12} + g^{22}A_{2,22} + 2g^{2\alpha}A_{2,2\alpha} + g^{\alpha\beta}A_{2,\alpha\beta}, \quad \alpha,\beta \in \{3,4\}. \tag{C.18}$$

The expression of g^{22} in terms of $g_{1\lambda}$ and g_{11} via the equalities

$$g^{2i}g_{1i} = 0 \text{ and } g^{2\alpha} = -g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda}$$

gives

$$g^{22} = -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11} + \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\alpha}g_{1\lambda}. \tag{C.19}$$

Using the assumption $A_2 = 0$ on G^1, $(C.18)$ yields

$$g^{ik}A_{2,ik} = 2g^{12}A_{2,12}. \tag{C.20}$$

The calculation of $g^{ki}_{,2}A_{k,i}$ gives

$$g^{ki}_{,2}A_{k,i} = g^{12}_{,2}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) + g^{2\alpha}_{,2}\left(A_{\alpha,2} + A_{2,\alpha}\right). \tag{C.21}$$

We calculate $g^{2\alpha}_{,2}$ by using the relation $g^{2\alpha} = -g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda}$ satisfied on G^1 to have

$$g^{2\alpha}_{,2} = \left(\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}_{,2}\right)g_{1\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2}. \tag{C.22}$$

Since $g^{12}_{,2} = -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}$ (see $(B.4)$), we deduce from $(C.21)$ and $(C.22)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ki}_{,2}A_{k,i} = & -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) \\ & + A_{\alpha,2}\left[\left(\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}_{,2}\right)g_{1\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.23}$$

As $g^{11} = g^{1\alpha} = 0$ and $A_2 = 0$ on G^1, the calculation of $g^{ik}\left[A_k, A_2\right]_{,i}$ on G^1 easily gives

$$g^{ik}\left[A_k, A_2\right]_{,i} = 0. \tag{C.24}$$

$(C.20 - C.24)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ik}A_{2,ik} + g^{ki}_{,2}A_{k,i} + g^{ik}\left[A_k, A_2\right]_{,i} = & \; 2g^{12}A_{2,12} - \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) \\ & + A_{\alpha,2}\left[\left(\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}_{,2}\right)g_{1\lambda} - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.25}$$

Calculation of $g_{j2}\left[\left(g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)_{,i}\left[A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right] + \Gamma^i_{im}F^{mj} + \Gamma^j_{im}F^{im} + \left[A_i, F^{ij}\right]\right]$.
Using the assumption $g_{22} = g_{23} = g_{24} = 0$ on G^1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{j2}\left[\left(g^{ik}g^{jl}\right)_{,i}\left[A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right] + \Gamma^i_{im}F^{mj} + \Gamma^j_{im}F^{im} + \left[A_i, F^{ij}\right]\right] \\ & = g_{12}\left[\left(g^{ik}g^{1l}\right)_{,i}\left(A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right) + \Gamma^i_{im}F^{m1} + \Gamma^1_{im}F^{im} + \left[A_i, F^{i1}\right]\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.26}$$

From the assumption $g^{11} = g^{13} = g^{14} = 0$, $A_2 = 0$ on G^1, a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ik}_{,i}g^{1l}\left(A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right) & = g^{12}\left(g^{1k}_{,1} + g^{2k}_{,2} + g^{\beta k}_{,\beta}\right)\left(A_{2,k} - A_{k,2} + \left[A_k, A_2\right]\right) \\ & = g^{12}\left\{\left(g^{11}_{,1} + g^{12}_{,2}\right)\left(A_{2,1} - A_{1,2}\right) - \left(g^{1\alpha}_{,1} + g^{2\alpha}_{,2} + g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}\right)A_{\alpha,2}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

with

$$g^{1k}g^{1l}_{,1}\left(A_{l,k} - A_{k,l} + \left[A_k, A_l\right]\right) = g^{12}\left\{g^{11}_{,1}\left(A_{1,2} - A_{2,1}\right) + g^{1\alpha}_{,1}A_{\alpha,2}\right\}, \tag{C.28}$$

$$g^{2k}g^{1l}_{,2}\left(A_{l,k}-A_{k,l}+[A_k,A_l]\right)=g^{12}_{,2}\left\{g^{12}\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)-g^{2\alpha}A_{\alpha,2}\right\}, \tag{C.29}$$

$$g^{\beta k}g^{1l}_{,\beta}\left(A_{l,k}-A_{k,l}+[A_k,A_l]\right)=-g^{\alpha\beta}g^{12}_{,\beta}A_{\alpha,2}. \tag{C.30}$$

$(C.27-C.30)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(g^{ik}g^{1l}\right)_{,i}\left(A_{l,k}-A_{k,l}+[A_k,A_l]\right)\\&=g^{12}\left\{2g^{12}_{,2}\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)-\left(g^{2\alpha}_{,2}+g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}\right)A_{\alpha,2}\right\}-g^{12}_{,2}g^{2\alpha}A_{\alpha,2}-g^{\alpha\beta}g^{12}_{,\beta}A_{\alpha,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.31}$$

Inserting the relations

$$\begin{aligned}&g^{2\alpha}=-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda},\quad g^{12}_{,2}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2},\quad g^{12}_{,\beta}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,\beta},\\&g^{2\alpha}_{,2}=\left(\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}_{,2}\right)g_{1\lambda}-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda,2},\end{aligned} \tag{C.32}$$

in $(C.31)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}g_{12}\left(g^{ik}g^{1l}\right)_{,i}\left(A_{l,k}-A_{k,l}+[A_k,A_l]\right)=&-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)\\&-\left(2\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}_{,2}\right)A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda}\\&+g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda,2}-g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}A_{\alpha,2}+g^{\alpha\beta}g^{12}g_{12,\beta}A_{\alpha,2}.\end{aligned} \tag{C.33}$$

We now handle $g_{j2}\left[\Gamma^i_{im}F^{mj}+\Gamma^j_{im}F^{im}+\left[A_i,F^{ij}\right]\right]$. Using the assumption $g_{22}=g_{23}=g_{24}=0$ on G^1, we get

$$g_{j2}\Gamma^i_{im}F^{mj}=g_{12}\left(\Gamma^i_{i2}F^{21}+\Gamma^i_{i\beta}F^{\beta1}\right). \tag{C.34}$$

Simple calculations on G^1 give

$$\Gamma^i_{i2}=3g^{12}g_{12,2},\quad 2\Gamma^i_{i\beta}=2g^{12}g_{12,\beta}+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}+g_{\lambda\mu,\beta}-g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right), \tag{C.35}$$

and

$$F^{21}=\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda},,\quad F^{\beta1}=-g^{12}g^{\beta\alpha}A_{\alpha,2}. \tag{C.36}$$

$(C.35)$ and $(C.36)$ give

$$\begin{aligned}&\Gamma^i_{i2}F^{21}=3\left(g^{12}\right)^3g_{12,2}\left[\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)+g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda}\right],\\&\Gamma^i_{i\beta}F^{\beta1}=-g^{12}g^{\beta\alpha}A_{\alpha,2}\left[g^{12}g_{12,\beta}+\frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}+g_{\lambda\mu,\beta}-g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{C.37}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}&g_{12}\Gamma^i_{i2}F^{21}=3\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{12,2}\left[\left(A_{2,1}-A_{1,2}\right)+g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda}\right],\\&g_{12}\Gamma^i_{i\beta}F^{\beta1}=-g^{\beta\alpha}A_{\alpha,2}\left[g^{12}g_{12,\beta}+\frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}+g_{\lambda\mu,\beta}-g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{C.38a}$$

For the term $g_{j2}\Gamma^j_{im}F^{im}$, since $\Gamma^j_{im}=\Gamma^j_{mi}$ and $F^{im}=-F^{mi}$, a simple computation gives

$$g_{j2}\Gamma^j_{im}F^{im}=0. \tag{C.38b}$$

The calculation of $g_{j2}\left[A_i,F^{ij}\right]$ gives

$$g_{j2}\left[A_i,F^{ij}\right]=-g^{\beta\alpha}\left[A_\beta,A_{\alpha,2}\right]. \tag{C.39}$$

Finally, from $(C.17)$, $(C.25)$, $(C.33)$, $(C.38a-b)$ and $(C.39)$, we gain

$$\begin{aligned} LA_2 = {} & 2g^{12}A_{2,12} - g^{\beta\alpha}\left[A_\beta, A_{\alpha,2}\right] + g^{12}g_{12,\beta}g^{\alpha\beta} \\ & - 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}A_{1,2} + 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda} \\ & + \left[g^{\alpha\beta}\left(-\left[g^{12}g_{12,\beta} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda} + g_{\lambda\mu,\beta} - g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]\right) - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}\right]A_{\alpha,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.40}$$

Calculation of $\nabla_2\nabla^k A_k$

By definition it holds that

$$\nabla^k A_k = g^{ik}\nabla_i A_k = g^{ik}\left(A_{k,i} - \Gamma^l_{ik}A_l\right). \tag{C.41}$$

Using the assumption $g^{11} = g^{1\alpha} = 0$ and $A_2 = 0$ on G^1 and the equality $g^{2\alpha} = -g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{1\lambda}$ on G^1, we have

$$g^{ki}A_{k,i} = g^{12}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}\left(A_{\alpha,2} + A_{2,\alpha}\right)g_{1\lambda}. \tag{C.42}$$

Using the notation $\Gamma^l = g^{ik}\Gamma^l_{ik}$, the calculation of $g^{ik}\Gamma^l_{ik}A_l$ gives $g^{ik}\Gamma^l_{ik}A_l = \Gamma^l A_l$. Since $\Gamma^1 = 0$ on G^1 at this step of the construction process, we deduce that

$$\nabla^k A_k = g^{12}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda} + \Gamma^\alpha A_\alpha \text{ on } G^1. \tag{C.43}$$

From $(C.10)$ we deduce that on G^1 the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^\alpha_{,2} = {} & \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}\right]_{,2}g_{1\lambda} + g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}g_{1\lambda,2} + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}\left(g_{2\lambda,12} + g_{1\lambda,22}\right) + \left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}\right)_{,2}\left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) \\ & + \left(-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]\right)_{,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.44}$$

$(C.43)$ and $(C.10)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^k A_k = {} & g^{12}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) + \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}A_\alpha - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]g_{1\lambda} + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) \\ & - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{C.45}$$

Differentiation of $(C.45)$ w.r.t. x^2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_2\left(\nabla^k A_k\right) = {} & g^{12}\left(A_{1,22} + A_{2,12}\right) + g^{12}_{,2}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) \\ & + \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}A_\alpha - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]_{,2}g_{1\lambda} + \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}A_\alpha - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]g_{1\lambda,2} \\ & + \left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}\left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left(g_{2\lambda,12} + g_{1\lambda,22}\right) \\ & + \left(-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha\right)_{,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.46}$$

As $g^{12}_{,2} = -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}$ (see $(B.4)$) and $\nabla^k A_k = \Delta$, we gain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{,2} = {} & g^{12}\left(A_{1,22} + A_{2,12}\right) - \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}\left(A_{1,2} + A_{2,1}\right) \\ & + \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}A_\alpha - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]_{,2}g_{1\lambda} + \left[g^{12}g^{\beta\lambda}_{,2}A_\alpha - g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]g_{1\lambda,2} \\ & + \left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}\left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left(g_{2\lambda,12} + g_{1\lambda,22}\right) \\ & + \left(-g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha\right)_{,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.47}$$

$(C.40)$ and $(C.47)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} LA_2 - 2\Delta_{,2} = {} & -2g^{12}A_{1,22} + 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}A_{2,1} + 2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda} \\ & + \left[4\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}A_\alpha + 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right]_{,2} g_{1\lambda} \\ & + \left[4\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha + g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}A_\alpha + 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}\right] g_{1\lambda,2} \\ & - 2\left(g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\right)_{,2}\left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) - 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left(g_{2\lambda,12} + g_{1\lambda,22}\right) \\ & + \left[g^{\alpha\beta}\left(g^{12}g_{12,\beta} - \left[g^{12}g_{12,\beta} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda} + g_{\lambda\mu,\beta} - g_{\beta\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]\right) - g^{\alpha\beta}_{,\beta}\right] A_{\alpha,2} \\ & - g^{\beta\alpha}\left[A_\beta, A_{\alpha,2}\right] + \left(2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha - \left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha\right)_{,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.48}$$

From $(C.16)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(g_{2\lambda,1} + g_{1\lambda,2}\right) = {} & g_{12}g_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^\nu + g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}g_{1\lambda} + g_{12,\lambda} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}g_{12}\left[g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\beta} + g_{\lambda\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}\right)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{C.49}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left(g_{2\lambda,12} + g_{1\lambda,22}\right) = {} & \left(g_{12}g_{\nu\lambda}\right)_{,2}\Gamma^\nu + g_{12}g_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^\nu_{,2} + \left[\frac{1}{2}g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]_{,2} g_{1\lambda} \\ & + g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}g_{1\lambda,2} + g_{12,2\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{12}\left[g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\beta} + g_{\lambda\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}\right)\right]\right)_{,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{C.50}$$

One proceeds in the same way to calculate $A_{2,1}$ from $(C.45)$ and $(C.49)$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2,1} = {} & g_{12}\Delta - A_\alpha g_{12}\Gamma^\alpha - A_{1,2} + g^{\alpha\lambda}A_{\alpha,2}g_{1\lambda} - A_\alpha g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}g_{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}A_\alpha\left[g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\beta} + g_{\lambda\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}\right)\right] + g^{\alpha\lambda}g_{12,\lambda}A_\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2}g_{12}\left[g^{\alpha\delta}g^{\beta\mu}\left(g_{\mu\delta,\beta} + g_{\delta\beta,\mu} - g_{\mu\beta,\delta}\right)\right]A_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{C.51}$$

Inserting $(C.49)$, $(C.50)$ and $(C.51)$ in $(C.48)$ and using the equality $g^{\beta\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2} = 4g^{12}g_{12,2}$ on G^1, we gain the desired relation (3.15).

Proof of item (ii). One uses the expression of $A_{2,1}$ given above in $(C.51)$ to obtain, from $(C.9)$, the following expression of $\tau_{2\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2\alpha} = {} & -2g^{12}A_{\alpha,2}.A_{1,2} + A_{\alpha,2}.\Delta - A_\nu.A_{\alpha,2}\Gamma^\nu + 2g^{\nu\lambda}g^{12}A_{\alpha,2}.A_{\nu,2}g_{1\lambda} \\ & - g^{\beta\lambda}\left(A_{\alpha,\lambda} - A_{\lambda,\alpha} + \left[A_\lambda, A_\alpha\right]\right).A_{\beta,2} + \left(\Phi_{,2}\right).\left(\Phi_{,\alpha} + \left[A_\alpha, \Phi\right]\right). \end{aligned} \tag{C.52}$$

The insertion of $(C.52)$ in (3.18) gives the desired system (3.19) with the appropriate known coefficients given by (3.20).

Appendix D: Proof of Proposition 3.11

Proof of item (i). By definition, we have

$$R_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta,k} - \Gamma^k_{\alpha k,\beta} + \Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{\alpha\beta} - \Gamma^k_{l\beta}\Gamma^l_{\alpha k}. \tag{D.1}$$

As in the previous steps, each term in the r.h.s of $(D.1)$ is calculated meticulously on G^1. Here one uses the equality

$$g^{12}_{,1} = \left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{22,1}g_{11} + \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{22,1}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu} - g^{12}\left(g^{12}g_{12,1} + g^{2\mu}g_{2\mu,1}\right),$$

which follows from the equalities $\left(g^{2i}g_{2i}\right)_{,1}=0, \quad g^{2i}g_{1i}=0$ on G^1, to gain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta,k} = &-\left(g^{11}_{,1}+g^{12}_{,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}-2g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,12}+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{12,1}\\
&+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{11,2}+\left\{\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right]_{,2}-\left(g^{12}\right)^3g_{22,1}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right\}g_{11}\\
&-g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{22,1}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu}-g^{12}g^{2\mu}g_{2\mu,1}\right]\\
&+g^{11}_{,1}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right)+g^{1\mu}_{,1}\left(g_{\beta\mu,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\\
&+g^{12}\left(g_{2\beta,1\alpha}+g_{2\alpha,1\beta}\right)+g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(g^{12}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu}\right)_{,2}\\
&+g^{12}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu}g_{\alpha\beta,22}+g^{12}_{,2}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right)+g^{2\mu}_{,2}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\\
&+g^{12}\left(g_{1\beta,2\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,2\beta}\right)+g^{2\mu}\left(g_{\beta\mu,2\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,2\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,2\mu}\right)\\
&-g^{2\lambda}_{,\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,2}+g^{\lambda\mu}_{,\lambda}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\\
&-g^{2\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,2\lambda}+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\lambda\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\lambda\mu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2a}$$

Similarly, we have

$$2\Gamma^k_{\alpha k,\beta}=\left[2g^{12}g_{12,\alpha}+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\lambda,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\lambda}-g_{\alpha\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]_{,\beta}. \tag{D.2b}$$

$(D.2a)$ and $(D.2b)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
2\left(\Gamma^k_{\alpha\beta,k}-\Gamma^k_{\alpha k,\beta}\right) = &-\left(g^{11}_{,1}+g^{12}_{,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}-2g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,12}+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{12,1}+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{11,2}\\
&+\left\{\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right]_{,2}-\left(g^{12}\right)^3g_{22,1}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right\}g_{11}+N_{\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2c}$$

where $N_{\alpha\beta}$ is known and given on G^1 by (3.25).

The calculation of $\Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Gamma^k_{l\beta}\Gamma^l_{\alpha k}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
4\Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{\alpha\beta} = &-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{12,1}-g^{12}\left(3g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}\\
&+\left(g^{12}\right)^2g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(4g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{11}\\
&-g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left[-g^{12}g_{22,1}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu}+2g^{2\lambda}g_{2\lambda,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{1\mu,\lambda}+g_{\lambda\mu,1}-g_{1\lambda,\mu}\right)\right]\\
&+\left[g^{12}g^{2\mu}g_{1\mu}g_{\alpha\beta,2}+g^{12}\left(g_{1\beta,\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,\beta}\right)+g^{2\mu}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\right]\left(3g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)\\
&+\left[2g^{12}g_{12,\lambda}+g^{\mu\theta}\left(g_{\mu\theta,\lambda}+g_{\theta\lambda,\mu}-g_{\mu\lambda,\theta}\right)\right]\left[-g^{2\lambda}g_{\alpha\beta,2}+g^{\mu\lambda}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\alpha}+g_{\mu\alpha,\beta}-g_{\alpha\beta,\mu}\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
4\Gamma^k_{l\beta}\Gamma^l_{\alpha k} = &\,2\left(g^{12}\right)^2g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,2}g_{11}-2g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,1}\\
&+\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g_{12,\beta}+g_{2\beta,1}-g_{1\beta,2}\right)\left(g_{12,\alpha}+g_{2\alpha,1}-g_{1\alpha,2}\right)\\
&-g^{12}g_{\mu\alpha,2}\left[g^{2\mu}\left(g_{2\beta,1}+g_{12,\beta}-g_{1\beta,2}\right)+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\lambda\beta,1}+g_{1\lambda,\beta}-g_{1\beta,\lambda}\right)\right]\\
&+2g^{12}g^{2\lambda}g_{1\lambda}g^{\theta\mu}g_{\theta\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,2}\\
&+g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}\left(g_{1\mu,\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,\mu}\right)+g^{\theta\mu}g_{\theta\beta,2}g^{2\lambda}\left(g_{\lambda\mu,\alpha}+g_{\lambda\alpha,\mu}-g_{\alpha\mu,\lambda}\right)\\
&+\left[g^{12}\left(g_{12,\beta}+g_{1\beta,2}-g_{2\beta,1}\right)+g^{2\mu}g_{\mu\beta,2}\right]\left[g^{12}\left(g_{12,\alpha}+g_{1\alpha,2}-g_{2\alpha,1}\right)+g^{2\lambda}g_{\lambda\alpha,2}\right]\\
&+g^{12}g^{\lambda\theta}g_{\theta\alpha,2}\left(g_{1\beta,\lambda}+g_{1\lambda,\beta}\right)+g^{2\mu}g^{\lambda\theta}g_{\theta\alpha,2}\left(g_{\mu\beta,\lambda}+g_{\mu\lambda,\beta}-g_{\lambda\beta,\mu}\right)\\
&-g^{12}g_{\lambda\beta,2}\left[g^{2\lambda}\left(g_{2\alpha,1}+g_{12,\alpha}-g_{1\alpha,2}\right)+g^{\lambda\mu}\left(g_{\mu\alpha,1}+g_{1\mu,\alpha}-g_{1\alpha,\mu}\right)\right]\\
&+\left[-g^{2\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}+g^{\theta\mu}\left(g_{\theta\lambda,\beta}+g_{\theta\beta,\lambda}-g_{\lambda\beta,\theta}\right)\right]\left[-g^{2\lambda}g_{\alpha\mu,2}+g^{\delta\lambda}\left(g_{\delta\mu,\alpha}+g_{\delta\alpha,\mu}-g_{\alpha\mu,\delta}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3b}$$

From $(D.3a)$ and $(D.3b)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}4\left(\Gamma^k_{lk}\Gamma^l_{\alpha\beta}-\Gamma^k_{l\beta}\Gamma^l_{\alpha k}\right) &= -2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{12,1}-g^{12}\left(3g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}\\ &\quad+\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(4g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{11}-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,2}g_{11}\\ &\quad+2g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,1}+2g^{12}g_{\mu\alpha,2}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,1}+M_{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned}\tag{D.3c}$$

where $M_{\alpha\beta}$ is known and given on G^1 by (3.26) and (3.27). $(D.2c)$ and $(D.3c)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{11}_{,1}+g^{12}_{,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}-g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,12}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{11,2}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right]_{,2}-\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{22,1}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right\}g_{11}\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{4}g^{12}\left(3g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{\alpha\beta,1}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{4}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}\left(4g^{12}g_{22,1}+g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}\right)g_{11}\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,2}g_{11}+\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,1}+\frac{1}{4}\left(N_{\alpha\beta}+M_{\alpha\beta}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{D.4}$$

Now using the following relations (see $(C.3-C.4)$, and proof of Proposition 3.5)

$$g^{11}_{,1}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{22,1}=-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2},\quad g^{12}_{,2}=-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2},\quad g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,2}=4g^{12}g_{12,2},$$

together with $(D.4)$, we gain

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,1}+\frac{1}{4}g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,2}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,1}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g_{\mu\alpha,2}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,1}-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g_{\alpha\beta,1}-g^{12}g_{\alpha\beta,12}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}g_{11,2}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right]_{,2}+4\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2}g_{\alpha\beta,2}-\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\beta,2}g_{\alpha\mu,2}\right\}g_{11}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{4}\left(N_{\alpha\beta}+M_{\alpha\beta}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{D.5}$$

From $(D.5)$, after some supplementary calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta} &= -g^{12}g^{\alpha\beta}_{,2}g_{\alpha\beta,1}-g^{12}g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,12}+2\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2}g_{11,2}\\ &\quad+\left\{4\left(g^{12}\right)^4\left(g_{12,2}\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}\right\}g_{11}+\frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}\left(N_{\alpha\beta}+M_{\alpha\beta}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{D.6}$$

We now expand the equality $2\Gamma^2=g^{ij}g^{2m}\left(2g_{mi,j}-g_{ij,m}\right)$ by using the equalities

$$g^{11}=g^{13}=g^{14}=0,\quad g_{22}=g_{23}=g_{24}=0,$$

assumed on G^1 to gain

$$\Gamma^2=\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11,2}-\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,1}+S,\tag{D.7}$$

where S is known and given on G^1 by (3.28). $(D.7)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^2_{,2} &= \left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11,22}-2\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2}g_{11,2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{12,2}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,1}\\ &\quad-\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}_{,2}g_{\lambda\mu,1}-\frac{1}{2}g^{12}g^{\lambda\mu}g_{\lambda\mu,12}+W,\end{aligned}\tag{D.8}$$

where W is known and given on G^1 by (3.28). $(D.6)$, $(D.7)$ and $(D.8)$ yield the first equality of (3.24).

We now prove the second equality of (3.24). From (2.22) we have

$$g^{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha k}.F_{\beta i}g^{ki} - \frac{1}{2}F_{kl}.F^{kl} + g^{\alpha\beta}\widehat{\nabla}_{\alpha}\Phi.\widehat{\nabla}_{\beta}\Phi + V\left(\Phi^2\right). \tag{D.9}$$

It is worth noting at this step of the construction process that, apart from g_{11} and $F_{1\alpha}$, all the g_{ij} and F_{ij} are known on G^1. One deduces that, apart from g^{22} and $F^{2\alpha}$, all the g^{ik} and F^{ik} are known on G^1. More precisely, the following equalities hold on G^1.

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{22} &= -\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11} - g^{12}g^{2\lambda}g_{1\lambda},\\
F^{12} &= \left(g^{12}\right)^2 F_{21} + g^{12}g^{2\lambda}F_{2\lambda},\\
F^{1\alpha} &= g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}F_{2\lambda},\\
F^{2\alpha} &= g^{21}g^{\alpha 2}F_{12} + g^{21}g^{\alpha\lambda}F_{1\lambda} + g^{22}g^{\alpha\lambda}F_{2\lambda} + g^{23}g^{\alpha 2}F_{32} + g^{23}g^{\alpha 4}F_{34}\\
&\quad + g^{24}g^{\alpha 2}F_{42} + g^{24}g^{\alpha 3}F_{43},\\
F^{34} &= g^{32}g^{4\lambda}F_{2\lambda} + g^{33}g^{42}F_{32} + g^{33}g^{44}F_{34} + g^{34}g^{42}F_{42} + g^{34}g^{43}F_{43}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

We will then examine all the terms of the r.h.s of $(D.9)$ in order to highlight the unknown functions. The following equalities hold on G^1 via direct calculations

$$g^{\alpha\beta}g^{ki}F_{\alpha k}.F_{\beta i} = 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\beta}F_{1\alpha}.F_{2\beta} + g^{22}g^{\alpha\beta}F_{2\alpha}.F_{2\beta} + 2g^{\alpha\beta}g^{2\lambda}F_{2\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\lambda}F_{\mu\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta}. \tag{D.11}$$

As the tensor $\left(F^{ij}\right)$ is antisymmetric, from the above computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}F_{kl}.F^{kl} &= 2g^{12}g^{\alpha\lambda}F_{1\alpha}.F_{2\lambda} + g^{22}g^{\lambda\beta}F_{2\beta}.F_{2\lambda} + F_{12}.F^{12} + F_{34}.F^{34}\\
&\quad + F_{2\lambda}.\left[g^{21}g^{\lambda 2}F_{12} + g^{23}g^{\lambda 2}F_{32} + g^{23}g^{\lambda 4}F_{34} + g^{24}g^{\lambda 2}F_{42} + g^{24}g^{\lambda 3}F_{43}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

$(D.11)$ and $(D.12)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\alpha\beta}g^{ki}F_{\alpha k}.F_{\beta i} - \frac{1}{2}F_{kl}.F^{kl} &= 2g^{\alpha\beta}g^{2\lambda}F_{2\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta} + g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\mu\lambda}F_{\mu\alpha}.F_{\lambda\beta} - F_{12}.F^{12} - F_{34}.F^{34}\\
&\quad - F_{2\lambda}.\left[g^{21}g^{\lambda 2}F_{12} + g^{23}g^{\lambda 2}F_{32} + g^{23}g^{\lambda 4}F_{34} + g^{24}g^{\lambda 2}F_{42} + g^{24}g^{\lambda 3}F_{43}\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.13}$$

The second equality of (3.24) follows straightforwardly from $(D.9)$ and $(D.13)$.

Proof of item (ii)**.** In view of (3.24), the equation

$$g^{\alpha\beta}R_{\alpha\beta} - 2\Gamma^2_{,2} - 2g^{12}g_{12,2}\Gamma^2 = g^{\alpha\beta}\tau_{\alpha\beta},$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
K = &-2\left(g^{12}\right)^2 g_{11,22} + 4\left(g^{12}\right)^3 g_{12,2}g_{11,2}\\
&+ \left\{4\left(g^{12}\right)^4\left(g_{12,2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(g^{12}\right)^2\left(g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta,2}\right)_{,2}\right\}g_{11}\\
&+ \frac{1}{4}g^{\alpha\beta}\left(N_{\alpha\beta} + M_{\alpha\beta}\right) - 2W - 2g^{12}g_{12,2}S.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.14}$$

$(D.14)$ is arranged under the simplified form (3.30) with χ and ψ given by (3.31).

Acknowledgement. *I wish to acknowledge warm welcome and hospitality from Professor Mamadou Sango at University of Pretoria where this work was finalized at the beginning of my postdoctoral fellowship. I would also like to express my thankfulness to Professor Marcel Dossa for his constant support and advice.*

References

[1] A. Balakin, H. Dehnen, and A. E. Zayats, Effective metrics in the non-minimal Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theory, *Ann. Phys.* **323** (2008) 2183-2207.

[2] A. Cabet, Local existence of a solution of a semilinear wave equation with Gradient in a neighborhood of Initial Characteristic Hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian Manifold, *Commun. Part. Diff. Eq.* **33**, (2008) 2105-2156.

[3] G. Caciotta and F. Nicolo, Global characteristic problem for Einstein vacuum equations with small initial data: (I) The initial constraints, *JHDE* **2** (1) (2005) 201-277.

[4] F. Cagnac, Problème de Cauchy sur un conoïde caractéristique pour des équations quasi-linéaires, *Ann. Mat. Pura ed Applicata* **IV** (CXXIX), 1980 13-41.

[5] F. Cagnac, Problème de Cauchy sur un conoïde caractéristique, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **11**, (1980) 11-19.

[6] F. Cagnac and M. Dossa, Problème de Cauchy sur un conoïde caractéristique. Applications à certains systèmes non linéaires d'origine physique, *Physics on Manifolds*, Proceedings of the International Colloquium in honour of Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat Paris, june 3-5, 1992 (35-47), edited by Flato, Kerner, *Lichnerovicz Mathematical Physics Studies* **15** (1994), Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[7] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires, *Acta Math.* **88** (1952) 141–225.

[8] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, Yang-Mills-Higgs fields in three space-time dimensions, *Mém. Soc. Math. France*, N. S. **46** (1991) 73-97; *Analyse globale et physique mathématique*, Lyon, (1989).

[9] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and D. Christodoulou, Existence of global solutions of the Yang-Mills, Higgs and spinor field equations in $3+1$ dimensions, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **14** (1981) 481-506.

[10] D. Christodoulou, The Formation of black holes in General Relativity, *Arxiv: gr-qc/0805.3880v1*, 594 pp., May 2008, *EMIS*, 2009.

[11] D. Christodoulou and H. Müller zum Hagen, Problème de valeur initiale caractéristique pour des systèmes quasi linéaires du second ordre, *C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris*, Ser. I **293** (1981) 39-42.

[12] T. Damour and B. G. Schmidt, Reliability of perturbation theory in General Relativity, *J. Math. Phys.* **31** (1990)2241-2453.

[13] M. Dossa, Espaces de Sobolev non isotropes à poids et problèmes de Cauchy quasi-linéaires sur un conoïde caractéristique, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théo.* **66** (1) (1997) 37-107.

[14] M. Dossa and C. Tadmon, The Goursat problem for the Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system in weighted Sobolev spaces, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Série I **348** (2010) 35-39.

[15] M. Dossa and C. Tadmon, The characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Applied Math. Research eXpress* **2010**, (2), (2010) 154-231.

[16] G. F. R. Ellis et al., Ideal Observational Cosmology, *Physics Reports* **124**, (1985) 315-417.

[17] F. G. Friedlander, On the radiation field of pulse solutions of the wave equations, *Proc. R. Soc.* **A 269** (1962) 53-65. ibid., **279** (1964) 386-394. ibid., **299**, 264-278.

[18] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time, *Cambridge University Press*, 1973.

[19] D. E. Houpa and M. Dossa, Problèmes de Goursat pour les systèmes semi-linéaires hyperboliques, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Ser. I **341** (2005) 15-20.

[20] J. Kannar, On the existence of C^∞ solution to the asymptotic characteristic initial value problem in General Relativity, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A452** (1996) 945-952.

[21] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, Global existence for the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 256, 43-110, 2005.

[22] H. Müller zum Hagen and F. H. Jürgen Seifert, On characteristic Initial-Value and Mixed Problems, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **8** (1977) 259-301.

[23] H. Müller zum Hagen, Characteristic initial value problem for hyperbolic systems of second order differential equations, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Phys. Théo.* **53** (1990) 159-216.

[24] A. D. Rendall, Reduction of the characteristic initial value problem to the Cauchy problem and its applications to the Einstein equations, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A 427** (1990) 221-239.

[25] A. D. Rendall, The characteristic initial value problem for the Einstein Equations, Non linear hyperbolic equations and field theory *(Lake Como 1991) Pitman, Res. Notes, Maths-ser.* **253** *Longman Sci. Tech. Harlow* (1992)154-163.

[26] J. M. Stewart, Classical General Relativity, ed. W. B. Bonnor, *J. N. Islam and M. A. H. Mac Callum*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

[27] J. M. Stewart and H. Friedrich, Numerical Relativity I. The Initial Value Problem, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* **A 384**, (1982) 427-454.

[28] C. Svedberg, Future Stability of the Einstein-Maxwell-Scalar Field System, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **12** (2011) 849–917

[29] M. S. Volkov and D. V. Gal'tsov, Gravitating non-abelian solitons and black holes with Yang-Mills fields, *Phys. Rep.* **319** (1999) 1-83.